REGULATION AND POLICY MANAGEMENT BRANCH

P.O. Box 942883 Sacramento, CA 94283-0001



September 8, 2025

SECOND NOTICE OF CHANGE TO TEXT AS ORIGINALLY PROPOSED

Pursuant to the provisions of Government Code Section 11346.8(c) and Section 44 of Title 1 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR or the department) hereby provides notice of proposed changes made to the below CCR sections regarding staff misconduct, employee discipline, and administrative remedies of grievances and appeals.

You are receiving this notice because you provided a comment regarding the proposed regulations.

Notice of Change to Regulations 25-06 was published in the Office of Administrative Law Regulatory Notice Register on April 18, 2025. The department determined that changes to the proposed regulations were necessary, and published a Notice of Change to Text as Originally Proposed (Renotice) on July 25, 2025. The department has determined that additional changes to the proposed regulations are necessary.

Amendments to the proposed text are indicated by **bold dotted underline** for newly added text and **bold dotted underline with strikethrough** for text deleted from the proposed text. The **bold double underline** and **bold double strikethrough** formatting from the first Renotice, and the original <u>underline</u> and <u>strikethrough</u> formatting used with Notice of Change to Regulations 25-06 have been retained.

These proposed changes are being made available for public comment. Only those comments relating directly to the amendments indicated by **bold dotted underline** or **bold dotted underline** with strikethrough will be considered.

The attached regulation text contains the following changes:

Note: Minor, non-substantive changes to grammar, language, and renumbering have been made throughout this text. These changes do not alter the meaning or effect of these proposed regulations. Substantive amendments are explained below.

Subsection 3480(a) is amended to change the proposed effective date of the provisions of these regulations to December 1, 2025. This is necessary as this second Renotice will delay the implementation of these regulations.

Subsection 3480(b)(12) is amended for greater specificity by naming the specific position responsible for this provision.

Subsection 3481(b)(1) is amended to change "hereafter" to "also" for grammatical purposes only.

Subsection 3481(g) is amended for improved clarity and simplicity only.

Subsection 3483(a)(2) is amended for greater clarity and specificity only.

Subsection 3483(a)(3) is amended to address repair or replacement of a previously approved disability-related item or device and allows the ADA Reviewing Authority to grant such claims without referring the request to the Reasonable Accommodation Panel, so long as the repair or replacement is completed expeditiously.

Subsection 3483(c) is amended to add "or request" after the term grievance for consistency only, as this subsection addresses both grievances and reasonable accommodation requests.

Subsection 3483(d) is amended to add a reference to new section 3483.5, which is added as part of this second Renotice (see below).

Subsection 3483(e)(1)(A) is adopted to establish a potentially expedited process for handling requests for reasonable accommodation. This provision will help ensure that incarcerated persons who need accommodation are able to receive assistance expeditiously.

Subsection 3483(e)(1)(B) is renumbered and amended for improved clarity only.

Subsection 3483(e)(1)(C) renumbered and amended for clarity only, as claims referred for investigation are addressed elsewhere in the proposed regulations.

Subsection 3483(e)(4) is amended to remove reference to reasonable accommodation reviews, as those reviews are now addressed in new subsection 3483(e)(1)(A). Subsection (e)(4)(B) adds language for clarity to make clear that these provisions encompass disability-related claims as well as any other claims.

Subsection 3483(g) is amended for improved clarity only.

New section 3483.5 is adopted. This section is adopted to incorporate the Grievance Resolution Team into the administrative remedies process to address the grievances submitted from the top 50 claimants who submitted the highest number of claims during the previous calendar quarter. When it is determined that a claimant's grievance submissions will be reviewed by the Grievance Resolution Team, the claimant will receive a face-to-face meeting with the team to allow all parties to better understand both the nature of each claim and the potential reasons why the claims are being submitted in such a high volume when compared to the rest of the incarcerated population. To reduce concerns of any perceived local bias, two of the team's members are from outside the institution and work at headquarters. All claims submitted to the Grievance Resolution Team will receive a written response.

Subsection 3483.5(b)(1) is adopted to provide specificity regarding the composition of the Grievance Resolution Team. To reduce concerns of any perceived local bias, two of the team's members are from outside the institution and work at headquarters.

Subsection 3483.5(b)(2) is adopted to identify the frequency with which the Grievance Resolution Team shall meet to address each of the claims submitted by an individual. The

meetings need to occur at least monthly so as to allow for grievance time constraints to be met, which allow for up to 60 calendar days to provide a response.

Subsection 3483.5(b)(3) is adopted to direct the Office of Appeals to set the Grievance Review Team meeting date and time, and ensure that the meeting is conducted in a manner that provides as much privacy for the claimant as operationally feasible, in the interest of the incarcerated person's safety and security.

Subsection 3483.5(b)(4) is adopted to establish when a meeting may be held without the claimant's attendance, and the need to document such occurrences. This is necessary to have a verifiable process in place in situations in which the claimant chooses not to attend the meeting with the Grievance Resolution Team.

Subsection 3483.5(c) is adopted to provide instruction to the Office of Grievances regarding the forwarding of a grievance from a claimant identified in subsection (a) to the Centralized Screening Team. The Centralized Screening Team will then review the grievance within a specified timeframe to determine if certain criteria exist that would require one or more claims within the grievance to be referred outside the Grievance Resolution Team process. These criteria include (1) Claims involving a request for reasonable accommodation that fall within subsection 3483(e)(1)(A) shall be referred to the ADA Reviewing Authority, and (2) Claims involving an allegation of staff misconduct that fall within the scope of subsection 3486.1(e)(1) shall be referred for investigation unless one of the exceptions found in 3486.1(e)(2) applies. These referral criteria were established so as to not slow the processing time for this type of submission.

Subsection 3483.5(d) is adopted to provide direction to the Grievance Review Team at the conclusion of each meeting on the options available to them when addressing each submitted claim, including the conditions when a unanimous decision is required to refer a claim for further handling.

Subsection 3486(a)(1) is amended to change the proposed effective date of the provisions of these regulations to December 1, 2025. This is necessary as this second Renotice will delay the implementation of these regulations.

Subsection 3486.1(e)(1)(B)(4) is amended to remove claims described under new section 3483.5 from the Centralized Screening Team screening process. With the adoption of this new section, it is necessary to address the removal of these claims from the standard process described under subsection 3486.1(e).

Subsections 3486.1(e)(2)(A) through 3486.1(e)(2)(E) are deleted. These provisions are now contained in new section 3483.5.

Contact Person

Inquiries regarding this notice should be directed to Joshua Jugum, Regulation and Policy Management Branch, California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283-0001, by telephone at (279) 223-2317, or e-mail at RPMB@cdcr.ca.gov. In the event the contact person is unavailable, inquiries should be directed to Ying Sun, Associate Director, RPMB, at (279) 223-2316.

Submission of Public Comments

Please submit comments by e-mail to RPMB@cdcr.ca.gov; in writing to Joshua Jugum, Regulation and Policy Management Branch, Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, P.O. Box 94283, Sacramento, CA, 94283-0001, before the close of the public comment period. The comment period for these revisions will close on **September 24, 2025**. Comments must be received or postmarked no later than this date. Only those comments relating directly to the enclosed amendments indicated by **bold dotted underline** or **bold dotted underline with strikethrough** will be considered.

YING SUN, Associate Director

Regulation and Policy Management Branch

Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation

Attachments

TEXT OF PROPOSED REGULATIONS - SECOND RENOTICE

In the following text, **bold dotted underline** indicates language newly adopted and **bold dotted underline with strikethrough** indicates language newly deleted since the first renotice. The **bold double underline** and **bold double strikethrough** formatting from the first renotice, and the original <u>underline</u> and <u>strikethrough</u> formatting used with Notice of Change to Regulations 25-06, has been retained in this text.

California Code of Regulations, Title 15. Crime Prevention and Corrections Division 3. Adult Institutions, Programs and Parole Chapter 1. Rules and Regulations of Adult Operations and Programs

Subchapter 4. General Institution Regulations

Article 9.1. Developmental Disability Program

Section 3369.9. Identification of Developmental Disability Program Incarcerated Persons within the Existing Population.

- (a) Referrals
- (1) Incarcerated persons may be referred for consideration of placement into the Developmental Disability Program (DDP) at any time even if they were not included in the DDP during the initial screening process. Any staff member may submit a referral to the Mental Health Program by submitting a CDCR Form 128-MH5 (Rev. 05/14), Mental Health Referral Chrono, incorporated by reference. Incarcerated persons may also self-refer by notifying staff or submitting a CDCR Form 602-1/1824 (Rev. 09/147 01/25), Grievance/Reasonable Accommodation Request, which is incorporated by reference.

Subsection 3369.9(a)(2) is unchanged.

Note: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code; and *Clark v California* (2002) USDC-ND (No. C-96-1486-CRB).

Subchapter 5. Personnel

Article 2. Employees

Section 3392. Employee Discipline.

Subsections under 3392(a) are amended to repeal the definitions indicated by strikethrough. The remaining subsections are renumbered to account for repealed subsections and are otherwise unchanged.

- (a) Definitions -- The definitions in this section apply to Subchapter 5, Article 2, sections 3391 and 3392 through 3392.10.
- (1) 5/8/40 Work Schedule -- A fixed work schedule consisting of five 8-hour days during a workweek.
- (2) Administrative Time Off -- A form of paid leave initiated by a **hiring authority** When it is determined that an employee should not come to work as set forth in section 3392.9.

- (3) Adverse Action -- A punitive action taken by a hiring authority Hiring Authority to discipline an employee as set forth in section 3392.3.
- (4) Allegation Inquiry Unit (AIU) -- The unit within the Office of Internal Affairs that conducts investigations into complaints alleging misconduct toward incarcerated persons and supervised persons as set forth in 3486.2, and reviews allegation inquiry reports completed by locally designated investigators.
- (54) Appointing Power -- The Secretary of the CDCR.
- (65) Bargaining Unit Agreement (also known as a Memorandum of Understanding) -- An agreement entered into between the State of California and an employee representative organization certified by the Public Employee Relations Board as the exclusive representative for an employee bargaining unit.
- (7) Centralized Screening Team -- The entity that reviews documentation to determine if the documentation contains a routine issue, alleges misconduct toward an incarcerated person or supervised person, or alleges misconduct not involving an incarcerated person or supervised person.
- (86) Corrective Action -- A non-punitive action taken by a supervisor to assist an employee to improve work performance, or correct behavior or conduct as set forth in section 3392.2.
- (97) Designated Case -- An employee discipline case assigned to a vertical advocate.
- (108) Employee Disciplinary Matrix -- The department's Employee Disciplinary Matrix set forth in section 3392.5, utilized by all hiring authorities to identify misconduct allegations and determine the penalty to be imposed when an allegation(s) of misconduct is sustained.
- (419) Employee Relations Officer -- The department employee responsible for coordinating the administrative process for designated cases, and representing the department in non-designated cases during the disciplinary process and at any administrative hearings.
- (1210) Hiring Authority The appointing power may act, or delegate the power to act, as the hiring authority Hiring Authority. The hiring authority Hiring Authority has the power to hire, initiate the investigation process by submitting a confidential request for internal affairs investigation or approval for direct adverse action, discipline, and dismiss staff. The power to act as a hiring authority Hiring Authority may be delegated to the following classifications: Undersecretary; Assistant Secretary; General Counsel; Chief Deputy General Counsel; Executive Officer; Chief Information Officer; Director; Deputy Director; Associate Director; Assistant Deputy Director; Chief, Office of Correctional Safety; Chief, Office of Labor Relations; Warden; Superintendent; Health Care Chief Executive Officer; Regional Health Care Administrator; Regional Parole Administrator; Parole Administrator; Superintendent of Education; Assistant Superintendent of Education; Administrator at the Richard A. McGee Correctional Training Center for Correctional Officer Cadets; or any other person authorized by the appointing power.
- (1311) Job Steward -- A recognized union representative for a state bargaining unit.
- (14<u>12</u>) Letter of Intent -- Written notification to a peace officer employee that an investigation has been completed, adverse action will be taken, and the proposed penalty.
- (4513) Manager -- An employee in a managerial classification having significant responsibilities for formulating or administering agency or departmental policies and programs or administering an agency or department.
- (16) Locally Designated Investigator -- Departmental staff trained by OIA to collect evidence and conduct Allegation Inquiries.
- (174) Monitored Case -- An employee discipline case monitored by the Office of the Inspector General.
- (18<u>5</u>) Non-Designated Case -- An employee discipline case assigned to an employee relations officer
- (196) Notice of Adverse Action -- A written notice of punitive action to an employee including the penalty, effective date of the action, causes for discipline, factual allegations of misconduct, predeprivation (Skelly) rights, and the right to appeal the action to the State Personnel Board.

- (2017) Office of Internal Affairs -- The entity with authority to investigate allegations of employee misconduct.
- (2118) Official Personnel File -- A file for a department employee containing records maintained by the department including records relating to the employee's performance or any grievances filed by the employee.
- (2219) Preponderance of Evidence -- The standard of proof necessary to establish that it is more likely than not that the alleged misconduct occurred.
- (2320) Progressive Discipline -- Written preventative, corrective, or disciplinary action, providing an employee with notice of departmental expectations, an opportunity to learn from prior mistakes, and correct and improve future work performance.
- (2421) Qualifying Pay Period -- Eleven or more qualifying workdays of service in a monthly pay period.
- (2522) Qualifying Work Day -- An employee's regularly scheduled workday, excluding regular-days-off, sick leave, holidays, vacation, annual leave, or other periods of approved leave.
- (2623) Skelly Hearing -- A hearing, normally held prior to the effective date of an adverse action, which provides the employee with an opportunity to respond to the allegations of misconduct set forth in the notice of adverse action.
- (2724) Skelly Letter -- A letter notifying the employee of the hiring authority's Hiring Authority's final decision regarding the imposition of a disciplinary penalty.
- (2825) Skelly Officer -- An employee, normally a manager assigned to conduct a Skelly Hearing and make a recommendation to the **hiring authority** Hiring Authority as set forth in section 3392.8.
- (2926) Skelly Package -- All documents and materials relied upon by the hiring authority <u>Hiring</u> <u>Authority</u> to impose adverse action.
- (3027) Vertical Advocate -- An Employment Advocacy and Prosecution Team attorney who provides legal advice to the department during investigations and the employee discipline process for designated cases, and represents the department at administrative hearings and during any subsequent writ or appellate proceedings.
- (3128) Work Week -- Any seven consecutive days, starting with the same calendar day each week beginning at any hour on any day, so long as it is fixed and regularly occurring.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5058 and 5058.3, Penal Code. Reference: Sections 5054, 5058.4 and 6053, Penal Code; Sections 3304(d)(1), 3513, 19570 and 19574, Government Code; Section 115, Evidence Code; *Skelly v. State Personnel Board* (1975) 15 Cal.3d 194; *Madrid v. Gomez*, 889 F. Supp. 1146 (N.D. Cal. 1995); and *Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW, *Madrid v. Woodford*, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department Of Corrections Post Powers Investigations And Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. and *Madrid v. Woodford*, Order; and Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action.

Section 3392.1. Allegation Inquiry and Investigation Findings.

(a) Upon receipt and review of an allegation inquiry report, investigation report, or approval of direct adverse action, the **hiring authority** Hiring Authority shall render a determination for each allegation for each subject. The findings and their explanations are as follows:

Subsections 3392.1(a)(1) through 3392.1(a)(5) are unchanged. Subsections 3392.1(b) and 3392.1(c) are repealed.

(b) If the hiring authority finds an allegation inquiry report insufficient to make investigation findings, the hiring authority shall refer the case to OIA for investigation.

(c) When a hiring authority sustains misconduct as a result of an allegation inquiry, only corrective action can be imposed unless a request for direct adverse action is approved by OIA.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5058 and 5058.3, Penal Code. Reference: Sections 5054 and 5058.4, Penal Code, *Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW, *Madrid v. Woodford*, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department Of Corrections Post Powers Investigations And Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. and *Madrid v. Woodford*, Order; and Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action.

Section 3392.3. Adverse Action.

Subsections 3392.3(a) through 3392.3(c) are unchanged. Subsection 3392.3(d) is amended.

(d) Adverse action for off-duty misconduct requires a $\frac{N_n}{N_n}$ exus between the employee's behavior and their employment.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5058 and 5058.3, Penal Code. Reference: Sections 18524, 19571 and 19572, Government Code; Section 115, Evidence Code; and Sections 5054 and 5058.4, Penal Code; *Yancey v. State Personnel Board* (1985) 167 Cal.App.3d.478; *Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW, *Madrid v. Woodford*, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department Of Corrections Post Powers Investigations And Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. and *Madrid v. Woodford*, Order; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action.

Section 3392.5. Employee Disciplinary Matrix.

Subsections 3392.5(a) and 3392.5(b) are unchanged. Subsection 3392.5(c) is amended.

- (c) Applying the Employee Disciplinary Matrix.
- (1) The Employee Disciplinary Matrix shall be used for all disciplinary actions to identify the applicable matrix misconduct allegation(s) and determine the appropriate penalty.
- (2) Prior to assessing a disciplinary penalty, the hiring authority Hiring Authority must find the investigation or direct action materials sufficient to make investigation findings, which must be documented on CDCR Form 402 (Rev. 01/22), Hiring Authority Review of Investigation, which is incorporated by reference. If the hiring authority Hiring Authority finds the investigation or direct action materials insufficient to make investigation findings, the hiring authority Hiring Authority shall document that finding in the department's information technology system on the CDCR Form 402 and refer the case to OIA for investigation.

Subsections 3392.5(c)(3) through 3392.5(c)(13) are unchanged.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5058 and 5058.3, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code; Section 19572, Government Code; *Madrid v. Gomez*, 889 F. Supp. 1146 (N.D. Cal. 1995.); *Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW, *Madrid v. Woodford*, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department Of Corrections Post Powers Investigations And Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. and *Madrid v. Woodford*, Order; and Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action

Section 3392.8. Skelly Hearing.

Subsections 3392.8(a) through 3392.8(e) are unchanged.

Subsection 3392.8(f) is amended.

(f) Unless waived by the employee, the *Skelly* Officer shall be a manager at an organizational level above the employee's supervisor, who did not request a confidential internal affairs investigation or approval of direct action, sign the employee's notice of adverse action, or participate in the decision to take adverse action.

Subsections 3392.8(g) through 3392.8(k)(4) are unchanged.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5058 and 5058.3, Penal Code. Reference: Sections 5054 and 5058.4, Penal Code; *Skelly v. State Personnel Board* (1975) 15 Cal.3d 194; Section 19590, Government Code; *Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW; *Madrid v. Woodford*, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department Of Corrections Post Powers Investigations And Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H., *Madrid v. Woodford*, Order; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action and CCR, Title 2, Section 52.6.

Section 3392.9. Use of Administrative Time Off.

Subsections 3392.9(a) through 3392.9(a)(3) are unchanged.

Subsection 3392.9(a)(4) is amended.

(4) The employee's continued presence in the workplace during the investigation or discipline process would undermine the department's ability to conduct a fair and <u>complete</u> thorough investigation or discipline process.

Subsection 3392.9(a)(5) is unchanged.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 5058 and 5058.3, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code; *Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW, *Madrid v. Woodford*, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department Of Corrections Post Powers Investigations And Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. and *Madrid v. Woodford*, Order; and Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action.

Article 6. Information Practices

Section 3450. Personal Information Record Access and Amendment.

Section 3450 is amended.

- (a) <u>Pursuant to the Information Practices Act, Aany</u> person on whom the department maintains a record or file containing personal information has the right to inspect their record or authorize any person to inspect such records on their behalf and to request amendment to correct outdated, inaccurate, or incomplete information.
- (1) In order to identify the individual requesting access to a record or file, and to determine who the contents of a record or file shall be disclosed to, all Rrequests to inspect a record or file shall

be submitted in writing to the <u>Warden</u> (if the person is incarcerated), the <u>Regional Parole Administrator</u> (if the person is supervised), or the <u>Secretary</u> (if neither of those circumstances <u>apply</u>) office or official responsible for maintaining the record. If the written request authorizes a third party to perform the inspection, then a copy of the third party's passport, driver's license, or <u>other form of government-issued photographic identification shall be included with the written request.</u> The department shall permit the inspection to occur within 30 calendar days of receiving the request, unless the request involves records that are geographically dispersed or which are inactive and in central storage, in which case the inspection shall be permitted within 60 calendar days. In addition, the department shall permit the person to have an exact copy made of all or any portion of the record or file within 15 calendar days of the inspection.

- (2) Requests to amend a record or file shall be submitted in writing, including documentary evidence to support the proposed amendment, to the Warden (if the person is incarcerated), the Regional Parole Administrator (if the person is supervised), or the Secretary (if neither of those circumstances apply) source of the contested information, or if the source is not available, to the office or official responsible for maintaining the record. No later than 30 calendar days after receiving the request, the department shall either:
- (A) adopt the requested amendment and inform the person that the amendment was adopted, or (B) reject the requested amendment and inform the person that the amendment was rejected, the reason the amendment was rejected, how to request a review of the department's decision, and the deadline to request such a review (as described in subsection 3482(a)).
- (b) The denial of a request to amend information may be appealed in writing first to the institution head or headquarters' division head and then to the director, and shall include all documentation pertaining to the requested amendment. Incarcerated and supervised persons may appeal the denial of a request using the incarcerated/supervised person appeal process established in these regulations.
- (c) When an individual's appeal of the request decision is denied, they may submit to the office or official responsible for maintaining the record a statement of disagreement for placement in the record or file. The statement shall normally be limited to three pages and shall remain a part of the record for as long as the disputed information is retained.
- (<u>bd</u>) No incarcerated or supervised person shall prepare, handle, or destroy any portion of a departmental record containing confidential information as that term is defined in section 3321.
- (<u>ce</u>) No incarcerated or supervised person shall prepare, handle, or destroy any portion of a departmental record containing personal information except:
- (1) As provided for in section 3041(e), or
- (2) Their copies of such records provided to them by the <u>Dd</u>epartment.

Note: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code; and Section 1798.20, Civil Code.

Subchapter 5.1. Incarcerated and Supervised Person Programs

Article 1. Administrative Remedies for Incarcerated and Supervised Persons

Section 3480. Implementation Date and Definitions.

Section 3480 is amended.

- (a) The provisions of this Article shall apply to all incarcerated and supervised person grievances, reasonable accommodation requests, and appeals received by the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (department) from persons under its legal custody or supervision on or after January 15, 20252 October December 1, 2025.
- (b) For purposes of this <u>aA</u>rticle <u>and Article 1.5 of this Subchapter</u>, the following definitions shall apply:
- (1) "Administrative remediesy" refers to means the non-judicial process provided by the department to address grievances, reasonable accommodation requests, and appeals incarcerated and supervised person complaints.
- (2) "Appeal" refers to means a written disagreement with a decision letter issued by the Office of Grievances request submitted to from a claimant for review by the Office of Appeals for review of a decision issued by the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances.
- (3) "Appeal package" means a written appeal from a claimant and all of its supporting documents.
- (4) "Centralized Screening Team" refers to the unit responsible for screening all claims to determine if the claim meets the definition of "staff misconduct" or "routine claim" as defined in this section.
- (35) "Claim" means a single issue or event or a course of conduct complaint arising from a unique set of facts or circumstances.
- (<u>46</u>) "Claimant" refers to an <u>person</u> incarcerated or supervised person under the custody or control of the <u>Department</u> who files a <u>claim</u> grievance or appeal with the <u>Dd</u>epartment.
- (<u>57</u>) "Coordinator" means the official responsible for the administrative functions of the Office of Grievances or Office of Appeals, depending on their assignment.
- (<u>6</u>8) "Department" and "departmental staff" refers exclusively to the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and to all employees, contractors, and volunteers associated with the <u>Ddepartment</u>, <u>except those employed by the Board of Parole Hearings, the Prison Industry Authority, and the Commission on Correctional Peace Officer Standards and Training unless expressly excluded elsewhere in this article.</u>
- (7) "Disability-Rrelated" means a request or claim with a nexus to a person's disability or functional limitation.
- (<u>879</u>) "Grievance" refers to means a written complaint <u>from a claimant</u> containing one or more claims <u>for review by an</u> request from a claimant for review by the Institutional or Regional <u>Office of Grievances</u> that <u>challenges</u> <u>disputes</u> a policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by the department or departmental staff of one or more claims <u>submitted to an Office of Grievances</u> for review.
- (10) "Grievance package" means a written grievance from a claimant and all of its supporting documents.
- (98) "Personal interaction" means direct contact with a claimant, either face-to-face or by writing the claimant directly. Participating in a committee meeting that concerns a claimant or that the claimant attended does not, by itself, constitute personal interaction; nor does signing a memo that applies to a group of individuals or signing a decision letter pursuant to this Article.

- (9) "Policy review" refers to the process used by the department to respond to complaints that departmental policy violates or contradicts an established rule of law or pre-existing policy issued by a higher authority.
- (10) "Reasonable accommodation" refers to the modification of a program, service, or activity, or to the issuance of an assistive device, which makes it possible for a person with a disability to achieve equal access to that program, service, or activity without imposing an undue hardship on the department or posing a direct threat to the health or safety of any person or the security of the institution.
- (11) "Reasonable Accommodation Panel" refers to a group of subject matter experts empaneled at each institution and overseen by the Associate Warden responsible for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act who are tasked with reviewing all reasonable accommodation requests.
- (129) "Reasonable accommodation request" refers to a written request from a claimant for one or more disability-related accommodations submitted to the Associate Warden responsible for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act a Reasonable Accommodation Panel for review for review by an Office of Grievances.
- (134) "Reasonable accommodation review" refers to the process used by the department to respond to a written request for one or more disability-related accommodations.
- (1421) "Reviewing Authority" refers to means the officials identified in subsection 3481(b) who review and approve all "grant" decisions and "denial" decisions as described in subsections 3483(g) and 3485(g) and who are thus eversee the Office of Grievances or Office of Appeals and who is responsible for the overall quality and timeliness of those decisions the written response to each claim raised in a grievance or appeal, respectively.
- (1<u>5</u>32) "Routine <u>review elaim</u>" refers to <u>the process used by the department to respond to grievances that do not allege staff misconduct as well as grievances that do allege <u>allegations of staff misconduct but that</u> are not referred for an investigation any complaint submitted by an incarcerated or supervised person that does not involve a claim of staff misconduct as defined in this section.</u>
- (13) "Staff misconduct" refers to behavior that resulted in a violation of law, regulation, policy, or procedure, or actions contrary to an ethical or professional standard.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code.

Existing Section 3481 is repealed and new Section 3481 is adopted.

3481. Claimant's Ability to Grieve and to Appeal.

- (a) A claimant has the ability to submit a written grievance to the department containing one or more claims, subject to the requirements in section 3482, to dispute a policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by the department or departmental staff. In response, a claimant shall receive a written decision, as described in section 3483, from an Institutional Office of Grievances in the Division of Adult Institutions or a Regional Office of Grievances in the Division of Adult Parole Operations, clearly explaining the reasoning for the decision in each claim. A claimant also has the ability to submit a written appeal concerning one or more claims, subject to the requirements in section 3484, to dispute the decision by the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances. In response, a claimant shall receive a written decision as described in section 3485 from the Office of Appeals clearly explaining the reasoning for the decision in each claim.
- (b) Reviewing Authorities.
- (1) The Institutional Reviewing Authorities authorized to grant or deny routine claims in a grievance received by an incarcerated person shall be of a rank no lower than a Chief Deputy Warden. The Director of the Division of Adult Institutions may also authorize an Associate Warden

to serve as an Institutional Reviewing Authority. This authorization shall be in writing and shall indicate the start date and end date of the assignment.

- (2) The Regional Reviewing Authorities authorized to grant or deny routine claims in a grievance submitted by a supervised person shall be of a rank no lower than a Chief Deputy Parole Administrator. The Director of the Division of Adult Parole Operations may also authorize an Assistant Regional Administrator to serve as a Regional Reviewing Authority. This authorization shall be in writing and shall indicate the start date and end date of the assignment.
- (3) The Secretary shall appoint the Reviewing Authority authorized to grant or deny each claim in an appeal submitted by an incarcerated or supervised person, but in no case shall that official be of a rank lower than the Associate Director of the Office of Appeals. The Secretary may also authorize the Associate Warden of the Office of Appeals to serve as a Reviewing Authority. This authorization shall be in writing and shall indicate the start date and end date of the assignment.
- (c) A claimant may choose to informally resolve a claim; however, any attempt to informally resolve a claim does not extend the time for submitting a grievance or an appeal.
- (d) Staff shall not retaliate against a claimant for seeking to informally resolve a claim or for submitting a grievance or appeal.
- (e) A claimant does not have the right to grieve or appeal a policy, decision, action, condition, or omission that was not made by the department or departmental staff but instead was made by an entity or official outside of the department, including, but not limited to, a county jail, a private hospital, the Department of State Hospitals, or the Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision; nor by an entity or official that is quasi-independent of the department, including, but not limited to, the Board of Parole Hearings, the Prison Industry Authority, or the Commission on Correctional Peace Officer Standards and Training. This article does not preclude a claimant from filing a complaint with the outside entity or official.
- (f) CDCR Form 602-1 (Rev. 01/22), "Grievance," hereby incorporated by reference, shall be made available to incarcerated persons in all housing units and in all prison law libraries and to supervised persons at all parole offices statewide.
- (g) When submitting a grievance or appeal, or for purposes of a related interview, if a claimant requests assistance based on a disability, lack of literacy, or need for translation services, or departmental staff detect the need for such assistance, then staff shall provide reasonable accommodations and utilize effective communication techniques as required by the Americans with Disabilities Act, as applicable.

Note: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code.

<u>Section 3481. Claimant's Ability to Submit Grievances, Reasonable Accommodation Requests, and Appeals.</u>

(a) A claimant has the ability to submit one or more claims in a written grievance, a written reasonable accommodation request, or both (subject to the requirements in section 3482). In response, a claimant shall receive a written decision letter (as described in section 3483) clearly explaining the reasoning and the evidence in support of the decision for each claim. A claimant also has the ability to appeal one or more of those decisions (subject to the requirements in section 3484). In response, a claimant shall receive a written decision letter (as described in section 3485) clearly explaining the reasoning and the evidence in support of the decision for each claim.

(b) Reviewing Authorities.

(1) The Warden and Chief Deputy Warden at each institution are the primary Reviewing Authorities for all grievances and reasonable accommodation requests received at that institution. The Warden may authorize one or more Associate Wardens to also serve as Reviewing

<u>Authorities, including the Associate Warden responsible for compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (hereafter also referred to as the ADA Reviewing Authority).</u>

- (2) The Regional Parole Administrator and Chief Deputy Parole Administrator in each region are the primary Reviewing Authorities for all grievances and reasonable accommodation requests received in that region. The Regional Parole Administrator may authorize one or more Assistant Regional Administrators to also serve as Reviewing Authorities.
- (3) The Associate Director of the Office of Appeals shall serve as the primary Reviewing Authority for all appeals and answer them on behalf of the Secretary. The Associate Director may authorize one or more managers in the Office of Appeals to also serve as Reviewing Authorities.
- (c) A claimant may choose to informally resolve a claim; however, any attempt to informally resolve a claim shall not extend the time for submitting that claim in a grievance or an appeal.
- (d) Departmental staff shall not retaliate against a claimant for seeking to informally resolve a claim or for submitting a grievance, reasonable accommodation request, or appeal. In addition, staff shall not access grievances, reasonable accommodation requests, or appeals in the department's information technology system unless specifically assigned to respond to a claim or when fulfilling another legitimate business need.
- (e) This Article does not create a A claimant does not have the right to grieve or appeal a policy, decision, action, condition, or omission that was not made by the department or departmental staff, but instead was made by an entity or official outside of the department, including, but not limited to, a county jail, the Department of State Hospitals, or the Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision; nor by an entity or official that is quasi-independent of the department, including, but not limited to, the Board of Parole Hearings, the Prison Industry Authority, or the Commission on Correctional Peace Officer Standards and Training. This Article does not preclude a claimant from filing a complaint with the outside entity or official.

(f) Forms.

- (1) CDCR Form 602-1/1824 (01/25), the "Grievance/Request for Reasonable Accommodation" form, hereby incorporated by reference, shall be made available to claimants who are under the custody of the department at all housing units, libraries, and law libraries; and to claimants who are under the supervision of the department at all parole offices statewide, and made available during regular home visits face-to-face contact upon request.
- (2) CDCR Form 602-2 (Rev. 01/25), the "Appeal" form, hereby incorporated by reference, shall be made available to claimants as an attachment to each decision letter issued by an Office of Grievances.
- (3) CDCR Form 602-3 (Rev. 01/25), the "Request to Implement Overdue Remedy" form, shall be made available to claimants as an attachment to each decision letter issued by an Office of Grievances or the Office of Appeals containing a remedy.
- (g) Prior to submitting forms for review by an Office of Grievances or the Office of Appeals, a claimant may obtain two copies of the documents described in subsection (f) from a library or law library consistent with existing policies regarding fees and waivers of fees following the procedures described in section 3162; however, the time needed to copy the forms shall not extend the time for submitting that claim in a grievance or an appeal.
- (h) Departmental staff shall provide reasonable accommodations and comply with effective communication and documentation requirements if a claimant requests assistance based on a disability, lack of literacy, or need for translation services when submitting a grievance, reasonable accommodation request, or appeal; conducting a related interview; or receiving a decision letter

issued by an Office of Grievances or the Office of Appeals. Staff shall do the same if they detect the need for such assistance or the claimant has a documented need for effective communication under the same circumstances.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code.

Existing Section 3482 is repealed and new Section 3482 is adopted.

3482. Preparation and Submittal of a Grievance.

- (a) Where to submit a grievance.
- (1) An incarcerated person who wishes to submit a grievance shall do so in writing to the Institutional Office of Grievances at the prison, or to the Institutional Office of Grievances designated for the re-entry facility or fire camp where they are housed. Every Warden, in consultation with the Director of the Division of Adult Institutions, shall issue a separate local rule in compliance with subdivision (c) of section 5058 of the Penal Code which shall be made available in all the law libraries at that institution, identifying the address where grievances may be mailed, the availability of electronic kiosks or tablets for submitting grievances, the physical location in each housing unit of all lock boxes where grievances may be submitted, and the specific departmental staff permitted to collect grievances from those lock-boxes. Grievances shall be collected from lock-boxes at least once per business day by departmental staff not regularly assigned to that housing unit. Additional local processes and procedures regarding the preparation and submittal of a grievance may be promulgated by the Division of Adult Institutions so long as they are consistent with this Article, pursuant to Penal Code section 5058(c)(1).
- (2) A supervised person who wishes to submit a grievance shall do so in writing to the Regional Office of Grievances in the parole region where they are supervised. Every Regional Parole Administrator, in consultation with the Director of the Division of Adult Parole Operations, shall issue a written advisement to a supervised person within 15 calendar days of the supervised person's release from prison identifying the address where grievances may be mailed, the availability of electronic kiosks or tablets for submitting grievances, and the physical location where grievances may be submitted. Additional local processes and procedures regarding the preparation and submittal of a grievance may be promulgated by the Division of Adult Parole Operations so long as they are consistent with this Article, pursuant to Penal Code section 5058(c)(1).
- (b) Time constraints.
- (1) A claimant shall submit a claim within 60 calendar days of discovering an adverse policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by the department. Discovery occurs when a claimant knew or should have reasonably known of the adverse policy, decision, action, condition, or omission.
- (2) The time limit for a supervised person to submit a grievance shall not be extended while the supervised person is on suspended status, meaning the supervised person has absconded.
- (3) The time constraint to submit a claim shall be extended for the period of time that a claimant is:
- (A) in the custody of another authority for court proceedings;
- (B) in the care of an outside hospital;
- (C) temporarily housed in a medical or mental health crisis bed; or
- (D) actively and directly engaged in fire suppression.
- (4) Regardless of these time constraints, all allegations of staff misconduct shall be referred by the Centralized Screening Team to an appropriate authority within the department for the purpose of gathering facts needed to prove or disprove the allegation and respond to the claimant.
- (c) To submit a grievance, a claimant shall:

- (1) type or print legibly on an official CDCR Form 602-1 (01/22) or complete the form electronically, if available;
- (2) describe all information known and available to the claimant regarding the claim, including key dates and times, names and titles of all involved staff members (or a description of those staff members), and names and titles of all witnesses, to the best of the claimant's knowledge;
- (3) describe any attempt to resolve the claim informally and, if there was such an attempt, provide the details of that attempt, including key dates and times, names and titles of all involved staff members (or a description of those staff members), and the results of that attempt, to the best of the claimant's knowledge;
- (4) include all supporting documents available to the claimant related to the claim or identify to the best of the claimant's ability all relevant records with sufficient specificity for those records to be located; and
- (5) sign and date the CDCR Form 602-1 (01/22).
- (d) When completing a CDCR Form 602-1 (01/22), a claimant shall not:
- (1) use threatening, obscene, demeaning, or abusive language, except when quoting persons involved in the claim:
- (2) include information or accusations known to the claimant to be false; or
- (3) contaminate the grievance package by including organic, toxic, or hazardous materials that may threaten staff safety or institutional security, in which case the grievance shall be safely discarded and the entire grievance disallowed. The claimant may re-submit the grievance concerning the same claim or claims so long as it is submitted within the time constraints set forth in subsection (b).
- (e) The entire grievance package shall be returned to the claimant with the acknowledgement of receipt described in subsection 3483(c).
- (f) The grievance package submitted by the claimant shall be stored electronically by the department.

Note: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code.

<u>Section 3482.</u> <u>Preparation and Submittal of a Grievance, Reasonable Accommodation Request, or both.</u>

(a) Where to submit a grievance, reasonable accommodation request, or both.

(1) An incarcerated person who wishes to submit a grievance or reasonable accommodation request shall do so in writing to the Office of Grievances at the institution where they are housed. If the claimant is housed at a re-entry facility or fire camp, then they shall submit the grievance or reasonable accommodation request to the Office of Grievances designated for that location. Every Warden shall issue a local rule in compliance with subdivision (c) of section 5058 of the Penal Code, identifying the address where grievances and reasonable accommodation requests may be mailed, the availability of electronic kiosks or tablets for submitting grievances and reasonable accommodation requests, the physical location of all lockboxes where grievances and reasonable accommodation requests may be submitted, and the specific departmental staff permitted to collect grievances and reasonable accommodation requests from those lockboxes. Grievances and reasonable accommodation requests shall be collected from lockboxes at least once per business day by staff not regularly assigned to that housing unit. If a written grievance or reasonable accommodation request is received by staff any other way, then it shall be forwarded to the Office of Grievances where the claimant is housed. Additional local processes and procedures regarding the preparation and submittal of a grievance or reasonable accommodation requests may be promulgated by the Division of Adult Institutions so long as they are consistent with this Article, pursuant to subdivision (c)(1) of section 5058 of the Penal Code.

(2) A supervised person who wishes to submit a grievance or reasonable accommodation request shall do so in writing to the Office of Grievances in the parole region where they are supervised. Every Regional Director, in consultation with the Director of the Division of Adult Parole Operations, shall issue a written advisement no later than 15 calendar days after the claimant begins parole identifying the address where grievances and reasonable accommodation requests may be mailed, the availability of electronic kiosks or tablets for submitting grievances and reasonable accommodation requests, and the physical location of all lockboxes where grievances and reasonable accommodation requests may be submitted. Grievances and reasonable accommodation requests shall be collected from lockboxes at least once per business day. If a written grievance or reasonable accommodation request is received by departmental staff any other way, then it shall be forwarded to the Office of Grievances in the region where the claimant is supervised. Additional local processes and procedures regarding the preparation and submittal of a grievance or reasonable accommodation requests may be promulgated by the Division of Adult Parole Operations so long as they are consistent with this Article, pursuant to subdivision (c)(1) of section 5058 of the Penal Code.

(b) Time cConstraints.

- (1) A claimant shall submit a grievance no later than 60 calendar days after discovering an adverse policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by the department. Discovery occurs when a claimant knew or should have reasonably known of the adverse policy, decision, action, condition, or omission.
- (2) The time constraint to submit a grievance, including an allegation of staff misconduct, shall be extended for the period of time that a claimant is:
- (A) in the custody of another authority for court proceedings;
- (B) in the care of an outside hospital;
- (C) temporarily housed in a medical or mental health crisis bed; or
- (D) actively and directly engaged in fire suppression.
- (3) Grievances deemed untimely shall be rejected pursuant to subsection 3483(g)(6)(A). Even if rejected, all allegations of staff misconduct shall be referred for a routine review or an investigation. Even if a particular claim in a grievance is rejected under subsection 3483(g)(6)(A), if the claim contains an allegation of staff misconduct, that claim shall be reviewed by the Centralized Screening Team and referred for a routine review or an investigation. Similarly, if a particular claim in a grievance is rejected under subsection 3483(g)(6)(D) and it contains an allegation of staff misconduct, that claim shall also be reviewed by the Centralized Screening Team and referred for a routine review or an investigation. If the claim is rejected pursuant to subsection 3483(g)(6)(A), then at the conclusion of the routine review or investigation the Hiring Authority shall notify the claimant, in writing, of the findings no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the findings are made, as required by subdivision (f)(1) of section 832.7 of the Penal Code.
- (c) To submit a grievance or reasonable accommodation request, a claimant shall type or print legibly on an official CDCR Form 602-1/1824 or complete the form electronically, if available, and include the following;
- (1) for grievances, describe all information known and available to the claimant regarding the claim, including key dates and times, names and titles of all involved departmental staff (or a description of those staff), and names and titles of all witnesses, to the best of the claimant's knowledge;
- (2) for grievances, describe any attempt to resolve the claim informally and, if there was such an attempt, provide the details of that attempt, including key dates and times, names and titles of all involved departmental staff (or a description of those staff), and the results of that attempt, to the best of the claimant's knowledge;

- (3) for reasonable accommodation requests, describe the limitation, the cause of the limitation, and the accommodation requested;
- (4) for grievances and reasonable accommodation requests, include all supporting documents available to the claimant related to the claim or identify to the best of the claimant's ability all relevant records with sufficient specificity for those records to be located; and
- (5) for grievances and reasonable accommodation requests, sign and date the CDCR Form 602-1/1824.
- (d) When submitting a grievance or reasonable accommodation request, a claimant shall not:
- (1) use threatening, obscene, demeaning, or abusive language, except when quoting persons involved in the claim;
- (2) include information or accusations known to the claimant to be false; or
- (3) contaminate the submission by including organic, toxic, or hazardous materials that may threaten staff safety or institutional security, in which case the submission shall be safely discarded and disallowed. The claimant may re-submit the same claim or claims so long as it is submitted within the time constraints set forth in subsection (b).
- (e) The entire submission shall be returned to the claimant with the acknowledgement of receipt described in subsection 3483(c).
- (f) The entire submission from the claimant shall be stored electronically by the department.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code.

Existing Section 3483 is repealed and new Section 3483 is adopted.

3483. Grievance Review.

- (a) The Reviewing Authority over each Office of Grievances shall designate at least one official to assess each written grievance within one business day of receipt to determine if it contains information concerning an imminent risk to personal safety, to institutional security, or of sexual abuse, including acts of sexual misconduct as defined by the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act and the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act. In those instances, the official shall immediately take appropriate action as required by all applicable laws and regulations. The official shall ensure the claimant is notified of the department's course of action within five business days. In addition to the above requirements, if the grievance alleges that the claimant's Earliest Possible Release Date (EPRD) is erroneous and the claimant is scheduled to be released within 90 calendar days of the date the grievance was received by the Office of Grievances, then a comprehensive review of the EPRD shall be conducted and the results provided to the claimant within 30 calendar days of receipt of the grievance. Regardless of the above requirements, the grievance Coordinator shall ensure that a written grievance decision is provided to the claimant as required in subsection (g).
- (b) The grievance Coordinator shall ensure that the intake process as specified in subsection (a) is completed and each grievance is referred to the Centralized Screening Team within three business days of receipt of the grievance.
- (c) The grievance Coordinator shall acknowledge receipt of each grievance to the claimant in writing within four business days of its receipt indicating the date the grievance was submitted, the date the grievance was received, the calculated date for the department's response, and whether the grievance was disallowed pursuant to subsection 3482(d)(3).
- (d) The grievance Coordinator shall ensure that all routine claims returned from the Centralized Screening Team to the Office of Grievances are reviewed and answered in accordance with this section.

- (e) A claimant or witness shall be interviewed during the course of responding to a routine claim if departmental staff responsible for answering the claim determine it would assist in resolving the claim. The interview shall be conducted in a manner that provides as much privacy for the claimant as operationally feasible. If a claimant is unavailable to be interviewed or refuses to be interviewed, then those facts shall be documented in the written response.
- (f) The Reviewing Authority shall ensure that any individual whose personal interaction with a claimant forms part of the claim is excluded from participating in the grievance process as to that claim, including any interview of a claimant conducted as part of the grievance process.
- (1) If the individual in question is a Warden, then an Associate Director, Deputy Director, or the Director from the Division of Adult Institutions shall serve as the Reviewing Authority for that claim.
- (2) If the individual in question is a Regional Parole Administrator, then a Deputy Director or the Director from the Division of Adult Parole Operations shall serve as the Reviewing Authority for that claim.
- (3) Participating in a committee meeting to discuss a claimant, or that includes a claimant in attendance, does not, by itself, constitute personal interaction.
- (g) The grievance Coordinator shall ensure that a written grievance decision is completed no later than 60 calendar days after receipt of the grievance, unless other statutory or regulatory authority requires a response in less than 60 calendar days, and contains one of the following decisions as to each claim in the grievance:
- (1) "Denied," meaning that the Reviewing Authority found by a preponderance of the evidence available that all applicable rules were followed;
- (2) "Granted," meaning that the Reviewing Authority found by a preponderance of the evidence available that all applicable rules were not followed,in which case the Reviewing Authority shall order an appropriate remedy;
- (3) "No Jurisdiction," meaning that the claim concerns a policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by an independent entity or official which requires that the claimant file a complaint with that entity or official, as described in subsection 3481(e);
- (4) "Redirected," meaning that the claim will be forwarded to the appropriate authority described below because it fits one of the following circumstances:
- (A) An issue concerning medical, dental, or mental health services provided by the Correctional Health Care Services Division or a dispute concerning a policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by the Correctional Health Care Services Division or its staff shall be redirected to that Division:
- (B) A request for a reasonable accommodation based on a disability shall be redirected to the Institutional or Regional Americans with Disabilities Act coordinator;
- (C) A request for an interview, item, assistance, or service shall be redirected to a staff member designated by the Hiring Authority for a response;
- (D) A request for records that is made pursuant to the California Public Records Act or the California Information Practices Act shall be redirected to the Institutional or Regional Public Records Act coordinator;
- (E) A request regarding institutional placement or search preference pursuant to the Transgender Respect, Agency, and Dignity Act of 2020 shall be redirected to the Prison Rape Elimination Act Compliance Manager;
- (F) A complaint regarding a classification committee decision about institutional placement pursuant to the Transgender Respect, Agency, and Dignity Act of 2020 shall be redirected to the Departmental Review Board via the Office of Appeals; or
- (G) An allegation against an incarcerated or supervised person shall be redirected to a staff member designated by the Hiring Authority for a response;
- (5) "Reassigned," meaning that the claim will be reassigned to the appropriate authority described below because it fits one of the following circumstances:

- (A) A claim involving documents, witnesses, or other facts that are primarily located at another institution or parole region shall be reassigned to the Office of Grievances where the majority of those facts are located, in which case the Office of Grievances that is presented with the reassigned claim shall treat the claim as received on the date that the sending Office of Grievances received it; or
- (B) A request to implement a remedy shall be reassigned to the Remedies Compliance Coordinator referred to in subsection (j)(2).
- (6) "Rejected," meaning that the claim will be rejected because it fits one or more of the following circumstances:
- (A) the claimant did not submit the claim within the time constraints required by subsection 3482(b), unless the claim concerns an allegation of staff misconduct;
- (B) the claim concerns an anticipated policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by the department or departmental staff;
- (C) the claim is substantially duplicative of a prior claim by the same claimant, unless the prior claim was rejected as anticipatory pursuant to subsection (B);
- (D) the claim concerns harm to a person other than the person who signed the grievance, unless the claim concerns an allegation of staff misconduct;
- (E) the claim disputes or contravenes the regulatory framework for the grievance and appeal process itself (specifically, Title 15, Subchapter 5.1, Article 1, Administrative Remedies for Incarcerated and Supervised Persons);
- (7) "Disallowed," meaning the grievance package will be discarded because it was contaminated with organic, toxic, or hazardous materials that may threaten staff safety or institutional security;
- (8) "Identified as Staff Misconduct," meaning that the claim involves an allegation of staff misconduct and was referred to the appropriate authority to gather relevant facts, resulting in exhaustion of the administrative remedies process for the claim;
- (9) "Pending Legal Matter," meaning that the substance of the claim concerns pending litigation by a party other than the claimant (excluding class action litigation), pending legislation, or pending regulatory action, resulting in exhaustion of the administrative remedies process for the claim; or
- (10) "Time Expired," meaning that the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances was not able to respond to the claim within 60 calendar days, resulting in exhaustion of the administrative remedies process for the claim.
- (h) If a claim is rejected as untimely under subsection (g)(6)(A), the grievance decision shall also include the following dates as determined by the grievance Coordinator: the date the claim was discovered, the date the claim was submitted, the date the claim was received, and the time constraint for submission of the claim pursuant to subsection 3482(b). A claim that is rejected as untimely by the Office of Grievances may be appealed for review by the Office of Appeals pursuant to the procedures in section 3484. If the Office of Appeals grants the appeal, then the claim shall be reassigned to the Office of Grievances at the institution or region where the majority of the facts and circumstances that gave rise to the claim occurred. The Office of Grievances shall treat the claim as received on the date that the Office of Appeals issued its decision and shall issue its own decision in compliance with subsection 3483(g).
- (i) The written grievance decision shall be sent to the claimant within two business days of completing the written grievance decision letter.
- (i) Implementation of Remedy
- (1) If the Reviewing Authority grants a claim, then the corresponding remedy shall be implemented no later than 30 calendar days after the decision was sent to the claimant, unless:
- (A) the remedy requires the disbursement of funds, in which case the remedy shall be implemented no later than 90 calendar days after the decision was sent to the claimant; or

- (B) the remedy requires budget authorization outside the department's existing authority, in which case the remedy shall be implemented no later than one year after the decision was sent to the claimant.
- (2) If the remedy has not been implemented and the applicable time constraint has passed, then a claimant may submit a CDCR Form 602-3 (Rev. 01/22), "Request to Implement Remedies," hereby incorporated by reference, directly to the Remedies Compliance Coordinator by regular mail—sent—to—the—"Remedies—Compliance—Coordinator,—Department—of—Corrections—and Rehabilitation, P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, California 95811." Correspondence directed to this address shall not be opened by any departmental staff other than those in the Office of Appeals.

 (k) Additional local processes and procedures may be promulgated by the Division of Adult Institutions and the Division of Adult Parole Operations so long as they are consistent with this Article, pursuant to Penal Code section 5058(c)(1).
- (/) Exhaustion.
- (1) Completion of the review process by the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances resulting in a decision of "denied," "granted," "no jurisdiction," "redirected," "reassigned," or "rejected" in accordance with subsections (g)(1) through (g)(6) of this section does not constitute exhaustion of all administrative remedies available to a claimant within the department. Nor does completion of the review process resulting in a "disallowed" decision in accordance with subsection (g)(7) of this section because the claimant may submit a new grievance regarding the same claim or claims so long as it is submitted within the time constraints set forth in subsection 3482(b). Exhaustion requires a claimant to appeal such decisions as provided in section 3484.
- (2) Completion of the review process by the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances resulting in a decision of "identified as staff misconduct," "pending legal matter," or "time expired" in accordance with subsections (g)(8) through (g)(10) of this section does constitute exhaustion of all administrative remedies available to a claimant within the department. No appeal is available because the claim was exhausted at the conclusion of the review by the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances.

Note: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Sections 832.5 and 5054, Penal Code; and Section 35.107, Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations.

Section 3483. Office of Grievances Review and Response.

- (a) Initial Review. The Reviewing Authority over each Office of Grievances shall designate at least one official to assess each written grievance and reasonable accommodation request no later than one business day after its receipt.
- (1) If it contains information concerning an imminent risk to personal health or safety, to institutional security, or of sexual abuse, including allegations of sexual misconduct as defined by the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act or and the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act, then the official shall immediately refer the matter to the appropriate authority in the institution or parole region as required by all applicable laws and regulations.
- (2) If it contains information suggesting the threat of injury or other serious harm absent an accommodation, then the official shall determine whether an interim accommodation is necessary to mitigate the threat and if so, ensure the interim accommodation is provided no later than five business days after its receipt. If it contains information regarding suggesting the claimant seeks a disability-related accommodation, then the official shall immediately refer the matter to the ADA Reviewing Authority who shall ensure that the matter is reviewed and if any injury or other serious harm is reasonably foreseeable, that an interim accommodation is provided no later than five business days after its receipt.

 (3) If it contains a request to repair or replace a disability-related item or device, then the
- (3) If it contains a request to repair or replace a disability-related item or device, then the ADA Reviewing Authority shall ensure that the matter is reviewed no later than five

business days after its receipt and either provide the item or device requested to the claimant with a written receipt or refer the request to the Reasonable Accommodation Panel. If it contains information suggesting the claimant needs assistance repairing or replacing a previously approved disability-related item or device, then the official shall immediately refer the matter to the ADA Reviewing Authority who shall ensure the item or device is repaired or replaced no later than five business days after its receipt and the action is documented in the department's information technology system or that the matter is referred to the Reasonable Accommodation Panel.

- (<u>43</u>) If it contains information suggesting the claimant's Earliest Possible Release Date (EPRD) is wrong and the claimant is scheduled to be released within 90 calendar days of its receipt, then a comprehensive review of the EPRD shall be conducted and the results provided to the claimant no later than 30 calendar days after receipt of the grievance.
- (54) If it contains information suggesting the claimant disagrees with the department's refusal to amend a record or file containing personal information pursuant to section 3450, then a comprehensive review of the matter shall be conducted and a final determination provided to the claimant no later than 30 calendar days after receipt as required by section 1798.36 of the Civil Code, unless the official conducting the comprehensive review notifies the claimant that the time period to complete the review was extended by 30 calendar days pursuant to that same section of the Civil Code.
- (b) The Grievance Coordinator shall ensure that the intake process described in subsection (a) is completed and each grievance and reasonable accommodation request is referred to the Centralized Screening Team no later than three business days after receipt of the grievance or reasonable accommodation request.
- (c) The <u>Office of Grievances</u> <u>Ceerdinater</u> shall acknowledge receipt of each grievance and reasonable accommodation request to the claimant in writing no later than 14 calendar days after its receipt indicating the date the grievance or request was submitted, the date the grievance or request was received, the calculated date for the department's response, and whether the grievance or request was disallowed pursuant to subsection 3482(d)(3).
- (d) The Grievance Coordinator shall ensure that all claims returned from the Centralized Screening Team to the Office of Grievances are reviewed and answered in accordance with subsections (e) through (i) of this section, except for those claims that are diverted to the Grievance Resolution Team pursuant to section 3483.5.

(e) Answering a cClaim.

- (1) The Grievance Coordinator shall assign all claims that are not screened out pursuant to subsections (g)(3) through (g)(10) to a Reviewing Authority, who may delegate the claim to any supervisor, for the purpose of gathering relevant facts and recommending whether to grant or deny the claim. If the claim requires a routine review, then the Reviewing Authority who determines whether to grant or deny the claim shall be at least one rank higher than the highest-ranking employee accused of personal interaction resulting in the alleged staff misconduct. as described below:
- (A) If the claim concerns a reasonable accommodation request, the Office of Grievances shall refer the matter to the ADA Reviewing Authority, who may grant the request or refer it to the Reasonable Accommodation Panel for the purpose of gathering relevant facts and recommending whether to grant or deny the request.
- (B) (2) If the claim concerns a is disability-related complaint, the Office of Grievances shall refer the matter to the ADA Reviewing Authority, who may delegate the claim to any

supervisor for the purpose of gathering relevant facts and recommending whether to grant or deny the claim.

- (C) (3) All other claims shall likewise be referred to a Reviewing Authority who may delegate the claim to any supervisor, for the purpose of gathering relevant facts and recommending whether to grant or deny the claim. If the claim requires a routine review, then the Reviewing Authority who determines whether to grant or deny the claim shall be at least one rank higher than the highest-ranking employee accused of staff misconduct. If the claim involves an allegation of staff misconduct that was not referred for an investigation, then the supervisor who conducts the fact gathering shall be at least one rank higher than the highest-ranking employee accused of staff misconduct.
- (242) The assigned supervisor shall conduct a complete review of each claim and ensure all relevant evidence, including documents, interviews, and video or audio recordings, are identified, summarized, and preserved.
- (353) A claimant or witness shall be interviewed during the course of responding to a grievance if the supervisor responsible for answering the grievance determines it would assist in resolving the claim. The interview shall be conducted in a manner that provides as much privacy for the claimant as operationally feasible. If a claimant is unavailable to be interviewed or refuses to be interviewed, then those facts shall be documented in the department's information technology system.

(464) Suspend and Elevate Process.

- (A) If a supervisor assigned to conduct a policy review or a reasonable accommodation review discovers information suggesting the claim involves staff misconduct, then the supervisor shall immediately suspend their activities related to the allegation of staff misconduct and refer the allegation to the Centralized Screening Team who shall to determine if the claim should be elevated for a reasonable accommodation review, to a routine review, or for an investigation if any because one of the factors described in subsection (B) appliesy. If elevated to a routine review by the Centralized Screening Team, a new claim shall be opened by the Office of Grievances to answer the allegation of staff misconduct.
- (B) If a supervisor assigned to conduct a routine review of a disability-related complaint or any other claim discovers information suggesting that the claim meets one of the following criteria, involves complex issues requiring specialized investigative skills or resources; the claim, if preven true, is likely to result in adverse action; or the claim is similar to previous staff misconduct that was sustained against the departmental staff involved, then the supervisor shall immediately suspend the review and refer the matter to the Centralized Screening Team who shall to determine if the claim should be elevated for to an investigation.
- 1. The claim involves complex issues requiring specialized investigative skills or resources.
- 2. The claim, if proven true, is likely to result in adverse action, including instances when the accused staff member engaged in similar misconduct within the past three years of the alleged misconduct which was granted by a Reviewing Authority or recently sustained by a Hiring Authority and resulted in corrective or adverse action.
- 3. The allegation claim accuses a Warden or Chief Deputy Warden, a Regional Parole Administrator or Chief Deputy Parole Administrator, or a Chief Executive Officer or Chief Support Executive, or any other departmental staff with a rank higher than those described in this section. of staff misconduct resulting from personal interaction.
- (C) If a supervisor assigned to a policy review, reasonable accommodation request review or routine review discovers information indicating potential staff misconduct unrelated to the original claim, then the supervisor shall submit that information to the Centralized Screening Team.

- (575) Upon completion of the review, the supervisor shall document their fact gathering, preserve all supporting documents and recordings in the department's information technology system, and draft a recommended decision letter for consideration by the Reviewing Authority.
- (f) The Reviewing Authority shall ensure that any individual whose personal interaction with a claimant forms part of the claim is excluded from participating in the process as to that claim, including any interview of a claimant conducted as part of the process. Signing a decision letter pursuant to subsection (g) does not, by itself, constitute personal interaction. Any individual whose personal interaction with a claimant forms part of the claim shall be excluded by the Reviewing Authority from participating in the grievance under review, including any interviews conducted as part of that process, unless one of the following exceptions apply:
- (1) The individual participated in a committee meeting that concerns a claimant;
- (2) The individual reviewed a disciplinary action pursuant to subsection 3312(c);
- (3) The individual signed a letter or memorandum that applies to a group of individuals; or
- (4) The individual signed one or more prior decision letters pursuant to subsection (g).
- (1) If the individual in question is a Warden, then an Associate Director, Deputy Director, or the Director from the Division of Adult Institutions shall serve as the Reviewing Authority for that claim.
- (2) If the individual in question is a Regional Parole Administrator, then a Deputy Director or the Director from the Division of Adult Parole Operations shall serve as the Reviewing Authority for that claim.
- (g) The Grievance Coordinator shall ensure that a written decision letter is issued no later than 60 calendar days after receipt of the grievance, unless other statutory, judicial, or regulatory authority requires a response in less than 60 calendar days, and contains one of the following decisions as to each claim in the grievance:
- (1) "Denied," meaning that the Reviewing Authority found by a preponderance of the evidence available that:
- (A) for policy reviews, the policy under review was not a violation or contradiction of an established rule of law:
- (AB) in the case of a for reasonable accommodation reviews, and following consideration by the Reasonable Accommodate Panel, an the accommodation requested was not reasonable under the totality of the circumstances pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act and the California Disabled Persons Act; or
- (BC) in the case of a for routine reviews, the departmental staff were found not to be in violation of applicable rules;
- (2) "Granted," meaning that the Reviewing Authority found by a preponderance of the evidence available that:
- (A) for policy reviews, the policy under review was in violation or contradiction of an established rule of law, in which case the Reviewing Authority shall order an appropriate remedy:
- (AB) in the case of a for reasonable accommodation reviews, an the accommodation requested was not reasonable under the totality of the circumstances pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act or and the California Disabled Persons Act, in which case the Reviewing Authority shall order an appropriate remedy; or
- (BC) in the case of a for routine reviews, the departmental staff were found to be in violation of applicable rules, in which case the Reviewing Authority shall order an appropriate remedy.

- (3) "No Jurisdiction," meaning that the claim concerns a policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by an independent entity or official which requires that the claimant file a complaint with that entity or official, as described in subsection 3481(e);.
- (4) "Redirected," meaning that the claim was forwarded to the appropriate authority described below because it fits one of the following circumstances:
- (A) An issue concerning medical, dental, or mental health services provided by the Division of Health Care Services or a dispute concerning a policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by the Division of Health Care Services or its staff, which shall be redirected to that Division after consulting with the coordinator in the Health Care Grievance Office;
- (B) A request for assistance, which shall be redirected to departmental staff designated by the hiring authority for a response; A request to repair or replace a disability-related item or device that was not referred to the Reasonable Accommodation Panel pursuant to subsection 3483(a)(3), which shall be redirected to the ADA Reviewing Authority;
- (C) A request for implementation of an overdue remedy, which shall be redirected to the Remedies Compliance Coordinator as described in subsection (j)(2);
- (D) A request for records pursuant to the Public Records Act, which shall be redirected to a Public Records Act coordinator;
- (E) A request to inspect a record or file containing personal information or to amend a record or file containing personal information pursuant to the Information Practices Act and section 3450 of Subchapter 5 of Article 6, which shall be redirected to a Public Records Act coordinator;
- (F) All other requests for assistance, which shall be redirected to departmental staff designated by the Hiring Authority for a response;
- (**GF**) A complaint regarding a decision issued by the Office of Grievances, which shall be redirected to the Office of Appeals;
- (<u>HG</u>) A complaint against an incarcerated or supervised person, which shall be redirected to departmental staff designated by the **hiring authority** Hiring Authority for a response; or
- (IH) An allegation of improper conduct by one departmental staff member against another staff member, staff misconduct between staff members or between a staff member and a person not under the custody or supervision of the department, which shall be redirected to departmental staff designated by the hiring authority Hiring Authority responsible for the accused staff member;
- (5) "Reassigned," meaning that the claim was assigned to a different Office of Grievances than the one which originally received it because the majority of evidence (documents, recordings, or witnesses) is located at the other Office of Grievances; however, regardless of the number of reassignments, the claim shall be treated as if it was received on the date the original Office of Grievances received it:
- (6) "Rejected," meaning that the claim was rejected because it fits one or more of the following circumstances:
- (A) The claimant did not submit the claim within the time constraints required by subsection 3482(b), unless the claim concerns a reasonable accommodation request;
- (B) The claim concerns an anticipated policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by the department or departmental staff;
- (C) The claim is substantially duplicative of a prior claim by the same claimant, unless the prior claim was rejected as anticipatory pursuant to subsection (B) or the prior claim concerns a reasonable accommodation request and there has been a change in circumstances or the prior claim was rejected as anticipatory pursuant to subsection (B):
- (D) The claim concerns harm to a person other than the person who signed the grievance, unless the claim concerns an allegation of staff misconduct that was referred for an investigation; or (E) The claim challenges one of the following:
- 1. a decision issued by the Office of Appeals;
- 2. the fact gathering process during a routine review;

- 3. the fact gathering process during an investigation of staff misconduct;
- 4. the findings made by a hiring authority Hiring Authority at the conclusion of an investigation of staff misconduct; or
- <u>5. the verbal or written statements submitted by departmental staff under penalty of perjury to a court of law or administrative tribunal.</u>
- (7) "Disallowed," meaning the submission from the claimant was discarded because it was contaminated with organic, toxic, or hazardous materials that may threaten staff safety or institutional security, in which case the claimant may re-submit the grievance so long as it is submitted within the time constraints set forth in subsection 3482(b);.
- (8) "Identified as Staff Misconduct and Referred for Investigation," meaning that the claim involved an allegation of staff misconduct which the Centralized Screening Team referred for an investigation;
- (9) "Pending Legal Matter," meaning that the substance of the claim falls within the scope of pending litigation (excluding class action litigation), pending legislation, or pending regulatory action; or
- (10) "Time Expired," meaning that the Reviewing Authority was not able to respond to the claim within 60 calendar days.
- (h) If a claim is rejected as untimely pursuant to subsection (g)(6)(A), then the decision letter shall also include the following dates as determined by the <u>Office of Grievances</u> <u>Coordinator</u>: the date the claim was discovered, the date the claim was submitted, the date the claim was received, and the time constraint for submission of the claim pursuant to subsection 3482(b).
- (i) The written decision letter shall be sent to the claimant no later than ten business days after its issuance by the Office of Grievances with a CDCR Form 602-2, Appeal (Rev. 01/25) which is incorporated by reference, attached. If the decision letter contains one or more granted claims, then a CDCR Form 602-3, Request to Implement Overdue Remedy (Rev. 01/25) which is incorporated by reference, shall also be attached. If the claimant is an incarcerated person, the written decision letter shall be delivered to the claimant no later than 10 ten business days after its issuance. If the claimant is a supervised person, the written decision letter shall be postmarked no later than five business days after its issuance, unless a reasonable accommodation or effective communication is required pursuant to subsection 3481(h), in which case it shall be delivered during the claimant's next regular home visit. The Office of Grievances shall attach a CDCR Form 602-2 to all written decision letters and a CDCR Form 602-3 if the decision letter contains one or more granted claims.

(j) Implementation of Remedy.

- (1) If the Reviewing Authority grants a claim, then the corresponding remedy shall be implemented no later than 30 calendar days after the date at the top of the decision letter issued by the Office of Grievances, unless:
- (A) the remedy requires the disbursement of funds, in which case the remedy shall be implemented no later than 90 calendar days after the date at the top of the decision letter; or
- (B) the remedy requires budget authorization outside the department's existing authority, in which case the remedy shall be implemented no later than one year after the date at the top of the decision letter.
- (2) If the remedy has not been implemented within the time period described in the above subsection, then a claimant may submit a CDCR Form 602-3, Request to Implement Overdue Remedy, directly to the Remedies Compliance Coordinator by regular mail sent to the address indicated on the form. Correspondence directed to this address shall not be opened by any departmental staff other than those in the Office of Appeals.

- (3) In order to be considered, the CDCR Form 602-3 must be submitted by the claimant no later than one calendar year after the decision letter was issued.
- (43) Upon receipt of a CDCR Form 602-3, the Remedies Compliance Coordinator shall contact the relevant Office of Grievances to confirm the remedy was implemented. If the remedy was already implemented, the Office of Grievances Coordinator shall provide the Remedies Compliance Coordinator with documentation confirming the remedy was implemented, including the date it was implemented and the full name and title of the official responsible for implementing it. If the remedy has not been was not yet implemented, the Grievance Coordinator and Remedies Compliance Coordinator shall regularly consult until the remedy is implemented.
- (k) Pursuant to subdivision (c)(1) of section 5058 of the Penal Code, additional local processes and procedures may be promulgated by the Division of Adult Institutions and the Division of Adult Parole Operations so long as they are consistent with this Article.

(I) Exhaustion.

- (1) Under the following circumstances a claimant may appeal the decision issued by the Office of Grievances; therefore, the administrative remedies process is not yet exhausted:
- (A) "denied" and the claimant is dissatisfied with the answer;
- (B) "granted" but the claimant is dissatisfied with the answer or the remedy;
- (C) "no jurisdiction;"
- (D) "redirected;"
- (E) "reassigned;"
- (F) "rejected;"
- (G) "disallowed;" or
- (H) "pending legal matter."
- (2) Pursuant to section 1798.36 of the Civil Code, if a final determination is provided to the claimant as described in subsection (a)(5)(4), then the administrative remedies process is exhausted. Nevertheless, the claimant may choose to:
- (A) waive exhaustion by submitting an appeal pursuant to section 3484; or
- (B) file a statement of reasonable length setting forth the reasons for their disagreement which shall be made available to any person or agency as required by section 1798.37 of the Civil Code.
- (3) Under the following circumstances a claimant may not appeal the decision issued by the Office of Grievances; therefore, the administrative remedies process is exhausted:
- (A) "identified as staff misconduct and referred for investigation;" or
- (B) "time expired."

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Sections 832.5 and 5054, Penal Code; and Section 35.107, Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations.

New Section 3483.5 is adopted.

Section 3483.5. Grievance Resolution Team.

(a) Each calendar quarter the Office of Appeals shall identify the 50 claimants who submitted the highest number of claims in the preceding calendar quarter. The Office of Appeals shall notify the Office of Grievances and the Centralized Screening Team of the identity of those 50 claimants so that any grievances submitted by those claimants during the ensuing calendar quarter may be reviewed by the Centralized Screening Team for possible diversion to the Grievance Resolution Team.

- (b) Team Composition and Meeting Details.
- (1) The Grievance Resolution Team shall consist of one representative from the local Office of Grievances, one representative from the Office of Appeals, and one representative from the Centralized Screening Team.
- (2) The Grievance Resolution Team shall meet with each of the claimants identified in subsection (a) at least once per month during the ensuing calendar quarter to discuss the claims diverted to the Grievance Resolution Team for review.
- (3) The meeting date and time shall be set by the Office of Appeals and conducted in a manner that provides as much privacy for the claimant as operationally feasible.
- (4) If the claimant chooses not to attend the meeting or is unavailable to attend, departmental staff shall document the circumstances and the Grievance Resolution Team shall determine whether to conduct the review in absentia.
- (c) After receiving a grievance from one of the 50 claimants identified in subsection (a), the Centralized Screening Team shall review the grievance within five business days of receiving it (within eight business days if a clarifying interview is necessary) to determine if any claims fit within the criteria below. Those claims that do not fit within the criteria below shall be screened by the Centralized Screening Team in accordance with subsection 3486.1(e). Those claims that do fit within the criteria below may be diverted to the Grievance Resolution Team.
- (1) The claim lacks sufficient detail for a meaningful screening determination and the claimant refuses to participate in a clarifying interview or participates in a clarifying interview but is still unable to provide sufficient detail to clarify the claim.
- (2) The claim is factually impossible (meaning the claim defies the laws of physics, biology, or any other discipline).
- (3) The claim is highly implausible (meaning the claim is factually possible but so improbable that any reasonable person would dismiss the claim as preposterous).
- (4) The claim closely mirrors three or more previous claims submitted by the same claimant during the most recent calendar quarter and is similar to at least one other claim which was previously denied by a Reviewing Authority or determined to be unfounded, exonerated, or not sustained by a Hiring Authority.
- (5) The claim accuses departmental staff of failing to take a specific action but documentary evidence found in the department's information technology system clearly shows that the action was taken by that same staff.
- (d) At the conclusion of each meeting between the Grievance Resolution Team and one of the claimants identified in subsection (a), the Grievance Resolution Team shall determine outside the presence of the claimant whether each claim fits within the criteria listed in subsection (c).
- (1) If any one member of the Grievance Resolution Team determines that a claim does not fit within those criteria, then that claim shall be screened by the Centralized Screening Team in accordance with subsection 3486.1(e).
- (2) If all the members of the Grievance Resolution Team determine that a claim does fit within those criteria, then those claims that fit within subsections (c)(1) through (c)(3) may be answered by the Office of Grievances without the necessity of a routine review or an investigation and those claims that fit within subsection (c)(4) or (c)(5) shall be referred for a routine review.

Section 3484. Preparation and Submittal of an Appeal.

Section 3484 is amended.

- (a) A claimant who wishes to appeal <u>a</u> the written grievance-decision or remedy <u>issued</u> provided by an <u>Institutional or Regional-Office</u> of Grievances concerning one or more claims they previously submitted in a grievance-shall do so in writing <u>by submitting a CDCR Form 602-2 directly to the Office of Appeals</u> by regular mail sent to the <u>address indicated on the form "Office of Appeals, Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, California 95811," or by electronic kiosk or tablet, if available. Correspondence directed to this address shall not be opened by any departmental staff other than those in the Office of Appeals. <u>If a written appeal is received by any other staff, then it shall be forwarded to the Office of Appeals.</u></u>
- (b) Time **Ce**onstraints.
- (1) A claimant who wishes to appeal a grievance-decision or remedy issued by an Office of Grievances found in subsections 3483(g)(1) through 3483(g)(6)-shall submit an appeal no later than within 60 calendar days after the date at the top of the decision letter issued by the Office of Grievances or the date the decision was discovered in the decision by the claimant, whichever occurs later in time by the institutional or Regional Office of Grievances. Discovery occurs when a claimant knew or should have reasonably known of the decision or remedy.
- (2) The time limit for a supervised person to submit an appeal shall not be extended while on suspended status, meaning the supervised person has absconded.
- $(\underline{23})$ The time constraint to submit an appeal of a claim-shall be extended for the period of time that a claimant is:
- (A) in the custody of another authority for court proceedings;
- (B) in the care of an outside hospital;
- (C) temporarily housed in a medical or mental health crisis bed; or
- (D) actively and directly engaged in fire suppression.
- (c) To submit an appeal, a claimant shall:
- (1) type or print legibly on the appeal form an official (CDCR Form 602-2) (Rev. 01/22), "Appeal of Grievance," hereby incorporated by reference, or complete the form electronically, if available;
- (2) describe in detail why the decision <u>issued</u> provided by the <u>Institutional or Regional Office</u> of Grievances is inadequate; and
- (3) sign and date the CDCR Form 602-2.
- (d) When submitting an appeal completing a CDCR Form 602-2, a claimant shall not:
- (1) use threatening, obscene, demeaning, or abusive language, except when quoting persons involved in the claim:
- (2) include information or accusations known to the claimant to be false; er
- (3) contaminate the <u>submission</u> <u>appeal package</u> by including organic, toxic, or hazardous materials that may threaten staff safety or institutional security, in which case the <u>submission</u> appeal shall be safely discarded and the entire appeal disallowed. The claimant may re-submit the appeal concerning the same claim or claims so long as it is submitted within the time constraints set forth in section 3484(b); or
- (4) include <u>a</u> new claims that <u>was</u> were not included in the original grievance <u>or reasonable</u> <u>accommodation request</u>, in which case the <u>new</u> claim shall be <u>referred to the appropriate Office</u> of Grievances <u>reassigned pursuant to subsection 3485(q)(5)(A)</u>.
- (e) The CDCR Form 602-2 shall contain a notification to the claimant that the Office of Appeals has access to will review all of the documents previously submitted by the claimant to the Office of Grievances so re-submitting those documents is not necessary and that any documents attached to the form 602-2 will not be returned before reaching a decision so none of those documents should be attached to the Form 602-2 submitted to the Office of Appeals, that a copy

of the CDCR Form 602-2 will be returned to the claimant with the written appeal decision; but that no other documents submitted by the claimant to the Office of Appeals will be returned.

(f) The <u>entire</u> appeal package <u>submission</u> submitted <u>from</u> by the claimant shall be stored electronically by the department.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code.

Existing Section 3485 is repealed and new Section 3485 is adopted.

3485. Appeal Review.

- (a) The Reviewing Authority for the Office of Appeals shall designate at least one official to assess each written appeal within one business day of receipt to determine if it contains information concerning an imminent risk to personal safety, to institutional security, or of sexual abuse, including acts of sexual misconduct as defined by the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act and the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act. In addition, the official shall assess if the grievance alleges that the claimant's Earliest Possible Release Date (EPRD) is erroneous and the claimant is scheduled to be released within 90 calendar days of the date the appeal was received by the Office of Appeals. In all instances described above, the official shall refer the matter to the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances where the majority of the facts and circumstances that gave rise to the claim occurred to be handled pursuant to subsection 3483(a).

 (b) If the Office of Appeals determines that a claim involved staff misconduct and that claim was not referred to the appropriate authority to gather relevant facts according to subsection 3482(b), then the Office of Appeals shall grant that claim and order the Centralized Screening Team to refer the allegation to the appropriate authority to gather relevant facts.
- (c) The appeal Coordinator shall acknowledge receipt of each appeal to the claimant in writing within four business days of its receipt indicating the date the appeal was submitted, the date the appeal was received, the calculated date for the department's response, and whether the appeal was disallowed pursuant to subsection 3484(d)(3).
- (d) The appeal Coordinator shall ensure that all routine claims appealed by the claimant to the Office of Appeals are reviewed and answered in accordance with this section.
- (e) The full record of each claim shall be made available to the Office of Appeals for purposes of conducting its reviews. The record shall include the claimant's grievance, the claimant's appeal, both acknowledgment letters, all related interviews conducted for the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances, any relevant documentation prepared for the Office of Grievances, any records contained in the department's information technology system, and all department rules and memoranda. The record shall not include any new information provided by the claimant to the Office of Appeals that was not made available to the Office of Grievances for their review.
- (f) The Reviewing Authority shall exclude any individual whose personal interaction with the claimant forms part of the claim from participating in the appeal process as to that claim. If the individual in question is the Associate Director of the Office of Appeals, then the Director from the Division of Correctional Policy Research and Internal Oversight shall serve as the Reviewing Authority for that claim.
- (g) The appeal Coordinator shall ensure that a written appeal decision is completed no later than 60 calendar days after receipt of the appeal, unless other statutory or regulatory authority requires a response in less than 60 calendar days, and contains one of the following decisions as to each claim in the appeal:
- (1) "Denied," meaning that:
- (A) the Reviewing Authority found by a prependerance of the evidence available that the decision by the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances pursuant to subsections 3483(g)(1) or (2) was proper; or

- (B) the appeal Coordinator found by a preponderance of the evidence available that the decision by the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances pursuant to subsections 3483(g)(3) through (10) was proper;
- (2) "Granted," meaning that:
- (A) the Reviewing Authority found by a preponderance of the evidence available that the decision by the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances pursuant to subsections 3483(g)(1) or (2) was not proper, in which case the Reviewing Authority shall order an appropriate remedy; or
- (B) the appeal Coordinator found by a preponderance of the evidence available that the decision by the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances pursuant to subsections 3483(g)(3) through (10) was not proper, in which case the appeal Coordinator shall order an appropriate remedy;
- (3) "No Jurisdiction," meaning that the claim concerns a policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by an independent entity which requires that the claimant file a grievance with that entity, as described in subsection 3481(e);
- (4) "Redirected," meaning that a request for records maintained solely by the Office of Appeals that is made pursuant to the California Public Records Act or the California Information Practices Act shall be redirected to the Public Records Act Coordinator at the Office of Appeals;
- (5) "Reassigned," meaning that the claim will be reassigned to the appropriate authority described below because it fits one of the following circumstances:
- (A) A claim which was not first submitted in a grievance to an Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances shall be reassigned to the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances where a majority of the facts and circumstances that gave rise to the claim occurred. The Office of Grievances that is presented with the reassigned claim shall treat the claim as submitted on the date the Office of Appeals received it.
- (B) A claim which was first submitted in a grievance but not answered by an Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances shall be reassigned to the Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances where a majority of the facts and circumstances that gave rise to the claim occurred. The Office of Grievances that is presented with the reassigned claim shall treat the claim as submitted on the date that the claim was first received but not answered by an Institutional or Regional Office of Grievances.
- (C) A request to implement a remedy shall be reassigned to the Remedies Compliance Coordinator referred to in subsection (j)(2).
- (6) "Rejected," meaning that the claim will be rejected because it fits one or more of the following circumstances:
- (A) the claimant did not submit the claim within the time constraints required by subsection 3484(b);
- (B) the claim concerns an anticipated policy, decision, action, condition, or omission by the department or departmental staff;
- (C) the claim is substantially duplicative of a prior claim by the same claimant, unless the prior claim was rejected as anticipatory pursuant to subsection (B);
- (D) the claim concerns harm to a person other than the person who signed the appeal;
- (E) the claim disputes or contravenes the regulatory framework for the grievance and appeal process itself (specifically, Title 15, Subchapter 5.1, Article 1, Administrative Remedies for Incarcerated and Supervised Persons);
- (7) "Disallowed," meaning the appeal package will be discarded because it was contaminated with organic, toxic, or hazardous materials that may threaten staff safety or institutional security;
- (8) "Identified as Staff Misconduct," meaning that the claim involves an allegation of staff misconduct and was referred to the appropriate authority for the gathering of relevant facts, resulting in exhaustion of the administrative remedies process for the claim;

- (9) "Pending Legal Matter," meaning that the substance of the claim concerns pending litigation by a party other than the claimant (excluding class action litigation), pending legislation, or pending regulatory action, resulting in exhaustion of the administrative remedies process for the claim; or
- (10) "Time Expired," meaning that the department was not able to respond to the claim within 60 calendar days, resulting in the grievance level decision serving as the department's final decision and exhaustion of the administrative remedies process for the claim.
- (h) If a claim is rejected as untimely under subsection (g)(6)(A), the appeal decision shall also include the following dates as determined by the appeal Coordinator: the date the claim was discovered, the date the claim was submitted, the date the claim was received, and the time constraint for submission of the claim pursuant to subsection 3484(b). A claim that is rejected as untimely by the Office of Grievances may not be appealed by the claimant.
- (i) The written appeal decision shall be sent to the claimant within two business days of completing the written appeal decision letter. If the Reviewing Authority or appeal Coordinator grants a claim, then a copy of the decision shall be simultaneously sent to the appropriate Institutional or Regional Grievance Coordinator.
- (j) Implementation of remedy.
- (1) If the Office of Appeals grants a claim, then the Institutional or Regional Reviewing Authority shall ensure that the corresponding remedy is implemented no later than 30 calendar days after the decision was sent to the claimant, unless:
- (A) the remedy requires the disbursement of funds, in which case the remedy shall be implemented no later than 90 calendar days after the decision was sent to the claimant; or
- (B) the remedy requires budget authorization outside the department's existing authority, in which case the remedy shall be implemented no later than one year after the decision was sent to the claimant.
- (2) If the remedy has not been implemented and the applicable time constraint has passed, then the claimant may submit a CDCR Form 602-3 directly to the Remedies Compliance Coordinator by regular mail sent to the "Remedies Compliance Coordinator, Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, P.O. Box 942883, Sacramento, California 95811." Correspondence directed to this address shall not be opened by any departmental staff other than those in the Office of Appeals.
- (k) Additional local processes and procedures may be promulgated by the Office of Appeals so long as they are consistent with this Article, pursuant to PC section 5058(c)(1).
- (I) Exhaustion.
- (1) Completion of the review process by the Office of Appeals resulting in a decision of "denied," "granted," "no jurisdiction," "identified as staff misconduct," "pending legal matter," or "time expired" in accordance with subsections (g)(1) through (g)(3) and (g)(8) through (g)(10) of this section constitutes exhaustion of all administrative remedies available to a claimant within the department.
- (2) Completion of the review process by the Office of Appeals resulting in a decision to "redirect," "reassign," or "reject" a claim in accordance with subsections (g)(4) through (g)(6) of this section does not constitute exhaustion of all administrative remedies available to a claimant within the department. Nor does completion of the review process resulting in a "disallowed" decision in accordance with subsection (g)(7) of this section because the claimant may submit a new grievance regarding the same claim or claims so long as it is submitted within the time constraints set forth in subsection 3484(b).

Note: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Sections 832.5 and 5054, Penal Code; and Section 35.107, Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations.

Section 3485. Office of Appeals Review and Response.

- (a) Initial Review. The Reviewing Authority for the Office of Appeals shall designate at least one official to assess each written appeal no later than two ene business days after its receipt and determine if it contains information concerning an imminent risk to personal health or safety, to institutional security, or of sexual abuse, including allegations of sexual misconduct as defined by the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act or the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act. If an imminent risk is detected, the official shall immediately refer the matter to the Office of Grievances to be handled pursuant to subsection 3483(a), unless the official determines that the Office of Grievances already detected the matter.
- (b) If the Office of Appeals receives a claim on appeal and determines that the Centralized Screening Team failed to identify an allegation of staff misconduct, then the Office of Appeals shall grant the claim and order the Centralized Screening Team to refer the allegation for a routine review or investigation.
- (c) The Office of Appeals Coordinator shall acknowledge receipt of each appeal to the claimant in writing no later than 14 calendar days after its receipt indicating the date the appeal was submitted, the date the appeal was received by the Office of Appeals, the calculated date for the department's response, and whether the appeal was disallowed pursuant to subsection 3484(d)(3).
- (d) The Appeals Coordinator shall ensure that all claims are reviewed and answered in accordance with subsections (e) through (i) of this section.
- (e) All of the information gathered for the purpose of answering a grievance or reasonable accommodation request, including interviews, documents, and video or audio recordings, shall be made available to the Office of Appeals for its review. If not already preserved in the department's information technology system, the Office of Grievances shall ensure the information is provided to the Office of Appeals and added to the system.
- (f) The Reviewing Authority shall exclude any individual whose personal interaction with the claimant forms part of the claim from participating in the process as to that claim. If the individual in question is the Associate Director of the Office of Appeals, then the Director from the Division of Correctional Policy Research and Internal Oversight shall serve as the Reviewing Authority for that claim. Signing a decision letter pursuant to subsection (g) does not, by itself, constitute personal interaction. Any individual whose personal interaction with a claimant forms part of a claim shall be excluded by the Reviewing Authority from participating in the appeal under review. Signing a letter or memorandum that applies to a group of individuals does not, by itself, constitute personal interaction. Nor does signing one or more prior decision letters pursuant to subsection (g).
- (g) The Appeals Coordinator shall ensure that a written decision letter is issued no later than 60 calendar days after receipt of the appeal, unless other statutory, judicial, or regulatory authority requires a response in less than 60 calendar days, and contains one of the following decisions as to each claim in the appeals.
- (1) "Denied," meaning that the Reviewing Authority found by a preponderance of the evidence available that the decision by the Office of Grievances was proper:

- (2) "Granted," meaning that the Reviewing Authority found by a preponderance of the evidence available that the decision by the Office of Grievances was not proper, in which case the Reviewing Authority shall order an appropriate remedy:.
- (3) "Remanded," meaning that the departmental staff failed to clearly explain the reasoning in support of a decision, failed to preserve the evidence relied on to reach a decision, or failed to apply the correct burden of proof in a decision, in which case the Office of Appeals shall order the Office of Grievances to re-examine the claim and issue a new decision letter no later than 30 calendar days after the date at the top of the decision letter issued by the Office of Appeals.
- (4) "Overlooked," meaning that the claim was submitted in a grievance or reasonable accommodation request but not answered by departmental staff as required by subsection 3481(a), so the Office of Appeals logged the claim and assigned it to the Office of Grievances where a majority of the facts and circumstances that gave rise to the claim occurred:
- (5) "Bypassed," meaning that the claim was not <u>first</u> submitted in a grievance or reasonable accommodation request as required by subsection 3482(a), so the Office of Appeals logged the claim and assigned it to the Office of Grievances where a majority of the facts and circumstances that gave rise to the claim occurred:
- (6) "Rejected," meaning that the claimant did not submit the claim within the time constraints required by subsection 3484(b) or the claim was correctly "rejected" by the Office of Grievances pursuant to subsection 3483(g)(6)(A)-through (g)(6)(D):.
- (7) "Disallowed," meaning the submission from the claimant was discarded because it was contaminated with organic, toxic, or hazardous materials that may threaten staff safety, in which case the claimant may re-submit the **appeal grievance** so long as it is submitted within the time constraints set forth in subsection 3482(b):
- (8) "Previously Identified as Staff Misconduct and Referred for Investigation," meaning that the claim was previously referred for an investigation by the Centralized Screening Team and may not be appealed pursuant to subsection 3483(I)(2):.
- (9) "Remedy Referred," meaning that the substance of the claim concerns implementation of a remedy and, as a result, shall be referred to the Remedies Compliance Coordinator:. or
- (10) "Time Expired," meaning that the Reviewing Authority was not able to respond to the claim within 60 calendar days, in which case the grievance level decision shall serve as the department's final decision.
- (h) If a claim is rejected as untimely pursuant to subsection (g)(6), then the decision letter shall also include the following dates as determined by the <u>Office of Appeals Coordinator</u>: the date the claim was discovered, the date the claim was submitted, the date the claim was received, and the time constraint for submission of the claim pursuant to subsection 3484(b).
- (i) The written decision letter shall be **postmarked and** sent to the claimant no later than five business days after its issuance by the Office of Appeals. If the decision letter contains one or more granted claims, then a copy of the CDCR Form 602-3 shall also be attached. If the Reviewing Authority grants a claim, then notice of the decision shall be sent to the appropriate Office of Grievances Coordinator. If the Office of Appeals grants an appeal and orders an Office of Grievances to open a new grievance to address the claim, then the Office of Grievances shall treat the claim as received on the date at the top of the decision letter issued by the Office of Appeals.

(j) Implementation of Remedy.

(1) If the Office of Appeals grants a claim, then the Office of Grievances shall ensure that the corresponding remedy is implemented no later than 30 calendar days after **the** date at the top of the decision letter issued by the Office of Appeals, unless:

- (A) the remedy requires the disbursement of funds, in which case the remedy shall be implemented no later than 90 calendar days after the date at the top of the decision letter; or
- (B) the remedy requires budget authorization outside the department's existing authority, in which case the remedy shall be implemented no later than one year after the date at the top of the decision letter.
- (2) If the remedy has not been implemented and the applicable time constraint has passed, then the claimant may submit a CDCR Form 602-3, "Request to Implement Overdue Remedy," directly to the Remedies Compliance Coordinator by regular mail sent to the address indicated on the form. Correspondence directed to this address shall not be opened by any departmental staff other than those in the Office of Appeals.
- (3) In order to be considered, the CDCR Form 602-3 must be submitted by the claimant no later than one calendar year after the decision letter was issued.
- (43) Upon receipt of a CDCR Form 602-3, the Remedies Compliance Coordinator shall contact the relevant Office of Grievances to confirm the remedy was implemented. If the remedy was already implemented, the Office of Grievances Coordinator shall provide the Remedies Compliance Coordinator with documentation confirming the remedy was implemented, including the date it was implemented and the full name and title of the official responsible for implementing it. If the remedy has not been was not yet implemented, the Grievance Coordinator and Remedies Compliance Coordinator shall regularly consult until the remedy is implemented.
- (k) Additional local processes and procedures may be promulgated by the Office of Appeals so long as they are consistent with this Article.

(I) Exhaustion.

- (1) Under the following circumstances a claimant will receive a subsequent answer from the department; therefore, the administrative remedies process is not yet exhausted:
- (A) "denied" because the Office of Grievances correctly redirected, reassigned, or disallowed the claim;
- (B) "granted" and the claim is returned to the Office of Grievances for re-consideration and issuance of a new decision;
- (C) "remanded:"
- (D) "overlooked;"
- (E) "bypassed;"
- (F) "disallowed;"
- (G) "remedy referred;" or
- (H) "time expired" and the Office of Grievances redirected, reassigned, or disallowed the claim.
- (2) If a claim is "denied" because a claimant failed to write legibly enough for the Office of Appeals to understand the issue on appeal as required in subsection 3484(c)(1) or a claimant failed to describe clearly enough why the decision by the Office of Grievances was inadequate as required in subsection 3484(c)(2), then the administrative remedies process was not exhausted.
- (3) If a claim is "rejected" because a claimant failed to comply with the time constraints found in subsection 3484(b) or because the Office of Grievances correctly "rejected" the claim under subsections 3483(g)(6)(A)-through (g)(6)(D), then the administrative remedies process was not exhausted.
- (4) If a claim is "denied" because the Office of Grievances correctly screened out the claim as a pending legal matter, then the administrative remedies process was not exhausted because the claimant initiated litigation prior to initiating the administrative remedies process.
- (<u>54</u>) Under the following circumstances a claimant will not receive any further answer from the department; therefore, the administrative remedies process is exhausted:
- (A) "denied" on the merits or due to a lack of jurisdiction;

- (B) "granted" and the claim is not returned to the Office of Grievances for re-consideration and issuance of a new decision;
- (C) "previously identified as staff misconduct and referred for investigation;" or
- (D) "time expired" and the Office of Grievances denied the claim or screened it out due to a lack of jurisdiction.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Sections 832.5 and 5054, Penal Code; and Section 35.107, Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations. Section 3486. Allegations of Staff Misconduct Toward an Incarcerated or Supervised Person.

Section 3486 is amended.

- (a) Right to submit <u>claim complaint</u> alleging staff misconduct <u>toward an incarcerated or supervised</u> <u>person.</u>:
- (1) The provisions of this Article shall apply to all allegations of staff misconduct received by the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (department) on or after December 1 October 1 January 1, 2025.
- (2 1) Any person can submit a <u>claim complaint alleging</u> of staff misconduct <u>toward an incarcerated or supervised person</u> when they believe departmental staff have engaged in behavior that result**sed** in a violation of law, <u>regulation</u>, policy, <u>regulation</u>, or procedure; <u>or to actions contrary to an ethical or professional standard.</u>
- (A) CDCR Form 602-1, Grievance, (Rev. 01/22), which is incorporated by reference, may be submitted by incarcerated and supervised persons pursuant to sections 3482(a)(1) and 3482(a)(2) respectively.
- (B) CDCR Form 602-HC, Health Care Grievance, (Rev. 07/24), which is incorporated by reference, may be submitted by incarcerated and supervised persons pursuant to section 3999.226(a).
- (C) Citizen's complaints shall be submitted in writing pursuant to section 3417.
- (<u>3</u> <u>2</u>) Staff shall not retaliate against a <u>claimant</u> reporting party or witness for submitting a <u>claim</u> emplaint or reporting <u>an allegation of</u> staff misconduct.
- (4 3) As provided in this Article, and Article 2 of Subchapter 5, the department shall ensure all claims complaints of staff misconduct are properly documented consistent with section 3486.1, subsections (c) and (d).
- (b) If a complaint alleging staff misconduct is submitted on a CDCR Form 1824, Reasonable Accommodation Request, (Rev. 07/24), which is incorporated by reference, it will be processed pursuant to sections 3482(a)(1) and 3482(a)(2).
- (be) <u>Definitions</u>. For purposes of this Article and Article 1 of this Subchapter, the following definitions shall apply: <u>Definitions</u>—For purposes of this article, the following definitions shall apply:
- (1) "Allegation Inquiry" refers to the process of gathering relevant facts and evidence by a Local Designated Investigator (LDI) concerning a complaint that involves an allegation of staff misconduct.
- (2) "Allegation Inquiry Report" refers to the confidential report prepared by an LDI following an Allegation Inquiry.
- (3) "Allegation Investigation Unit" (AIU) refers to the unit within the Office of Internal Affairs (OIA) that conducts investigations into complaints alleging misconduct toward incarcerated and supervised persons as set forth in section 3486.2, and reviews allegation inquiry reports completed by LDIs.
- (4) "AIU Investigator" refers to an investigator within the AIU assigned to conduct a confidential investigation.

- (5) "Armstrong class member" refers to an incarcerated person who is a class member under the federal court decree in Armstrong v. Newsom (previously: Armstrong v. Schwarzenegger).
- (16) "Centralized Screening Team" (CST) refers to the entity that reviews documentation team responsible for screening all grievances, reasonable accommodation requests, and allegations of staff misconduct, and then routing the claim. to determine if the documentation contains a Routine Issue, alleges misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person, or alleges misconduct not involving an incarcerated or supervised person.
- (27) "Clarification Interview" refers to an interview conducted by **the Centralized Screening Team CST staff** when clarification is **needed required** to make a screening decision.
- (8) "Complaint" refers to any documentation or verbal statements received by the Department from any source that contains a routine issue or alleges Staff Misconduct.
- (9) "Reporting Party" refers to the person making a complaint against departmental staff.
- (10) "Department" and "Departmental Staff" refer exclusively to CDCR employees, contractors, and volunteers.
- (<u>311</u>) "Designated Case" refers to a case assigned to an <u>attorney</u> <u>within</u> the Employment Advocacy and Prosecution Team (<u>EAPT</u>), Vertical Advocate (VA).
- (12) "Disabled Incarcerated Persons" as used in Article 1.5, Staff Misconduct Allegations, refers to all *Armstrong* class members, and incarcerated persons *in the* Mental Health Services Delivery System at the Enhanced Out Patient level of care or higher (i.e., Psychiatric Inpatient Program and Mental Health Crisis Bed).
- (<u>413</u>) "Employment Advocacy and Prosecution Team" (<u>EAPT</u>) refers to the entity in the Office of Legal Affairs responsible for providing legal counsel and representation during the employee investigation, discipline, and appeal process.
- (<u>514</u>) "Hiring Authority" has the same meaning in this Article as in subsection 3392(a)(1<u>0</u>2).
- (615) "Investigation" refers to the gathering of facts and evidence by an specially trained headquarters investigator OIA AIU Investigator concerning employee misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person an allegation of staff misconduct.
- (16) "Investigation Assignment Decision" refers to the decision made by the AIU manager identifying the level of AIU investigator to be assigned to conduct an investigation.
- (7) "Investigator" refers to a specially trained employee assigned to conduct a staff misconduct investigation.
- (817) "Investigative on Report" refers to the confidential report prepared by an OIA AIU investigator following an investigation.
- (18) "Locally Designated Investigator" refers to departmental staff trained by OIA to collect evidence and conduct Allegation Inquires.
- (919) "Office of Internal Affairs" (OIA) refers to an the entity with authority to investigate allegations of employee misconduct.
- (20) "Routine Issue" refers to any complaint received by CST that is not identified as an allegation of Staff Misconduct.
- (21) "Screening Decision" refers to the decision made by the CST of whether a complaint contains a Routine Issue, allegation(s) of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person, or allegation(s) of staff misconduct not related to an incarcerated or supervised person. If the complaint contains allegation(s) of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person which include complex issues requiring specialized investigative skills or resources, CST shall refer the allegations to AIU for investigation. If the complaint contains allegation(s) of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person which do not include complex issues requiring specialized investigative skills or resources, CST shall refer the allegations to the hiring authority for an Allegation Inquiry.
- (<u>7</u>1022) "Staff Misconduct" refers to behavior that results in a violation of law, regulation, policy, or procedure; or <u>to</u> actions contrary to an ethical or professional standard.

- (23) "Third Party" refers to a person or persons not directly involved in the incident or interaction that resulted in the allegation of staff misconduct.
- (<u>841-24</u>) "Vertical Advocate" (VA) refers to an <u>attorney with the <u>EAPT Employment Advocacy</u> <u>and Prosecution Team</u> <u>attorney</u> who provides legal advice to the department during investigations and the employee discipline process for designated cases; and represents the department at administrative hearings and during any subsequent writ or appellate proceedings. (d) Implementation—The provisions of this Article shall apply to staff misconduct complaints received by the department as follows:</u>
- (1) Allegations of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person involving Use of Force and Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) complaints for all facilities and parole regions statewide, shall be referred to AIU (formerly known as AIMS) for an allegation inquiry.
- (2) CDCR Form 602-1, Grievances, (Rev. 01/22) for all facilities and parole regions statewide shall be screened by CST, and allegations of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person requiring specialized investigative skills or resources as described in subsection 3486(c)(21), shall be referred to AIU (formerly known as AIMS) for an allegation inquiry.
- (3) CDCR Form 602-1, Grievances for all facilities and parole regions statewide shall be screened by CST, and allegations of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person not requiring specialized investigative skills or resources as described in subsection 3486(c)(21), will be referred to the Hiring Authority for assignment to an LDI for an allegation inquiry, unless CST refers to AIU (formerly known as AIMS) for an allegation inquiry.
- (4) For the following institutions, allegations of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person contained in a CDCR Form 602-1, as set forth in subsection 3486.1(h):
- (A) Richard J Donovan;
- (B) California State Prison, Los Angeles County;
- (C) California State Prison, Corcoran;
- (D) Substance Abuse Treatment Facility;
- (E) Kern Valley State Prison; and
- (F) California Institution for Women.
- (5) For allegations of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person, contained in a CDCR Form 602-1 at the following institutions, assigned to an LDI for an allegation inquiry, the allegation inquiry report shall be reviewed and approved as set forth in subsection 3486.2(c)(4):
- (A) Richard J Donovan;
- (B) California State Prison, Los Angeles County;
- (C) California State Prison, Corcoran;
- (D) Substance Abuse Treatment Facility;
- (E) Kern Valley State Prison; and
- (F) California Institution for Women.
- (6) For CDCR Form 602-HC, Health Care Grievances, (Rev. 07/24); CDCR Form 1824, Reasonable Accommodation Requests, (Rev. 07/24); and all Third Party Complaints (e.g., citizen complaints, staff, ombudsman, advocacy letters and any related interviews, etc.) that contains an allegation of staff misconduct towards an *Armstrong* Class Member at the Richard J Donovan Correctional Facility, and disabled incarcerated persons as defined in section 3486(b)(13) at the following institutions, shall be reviewed by the institution and if the complaint contains an allegation of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person, the institution shall refer the complaint to CST for screening and disposition as set forth in subsections 3486.1(h) and 3486.2(c)(4):
- (A) California State Prison, Los Angeles County;
- (B) California State Prison, Corcoran;
- (C) Substance Abuse Treatment Facility;
- (D) Kern Valley State Prison; and

- (E) California Institution for Women.
- (7) Beginning on January 1, 2023, for allegations of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person, contained in a CDCR Form 602-1, assigned to an LDI for an allegation inquiry, the allegation inquiry report shall be reviewed and approved as set forth in subsection 3486.2(c)(4).
- (8) Beginning on March 1, 2023, allegations of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person from all institutions and parele regions, contained in a CDCR Form 602-1, requiring specialized investigative skills or resources as described in subsection 3486(c)(21), shall be referred to AIU for investigation as set forth in subsection 3486.2(b).
- (9) Beginning on May 31, 2023, CDCR Form 602-HC, Health Care Grievances from all institutions and parole regions, shall be referred to CST for screening and disposition as set forth in subsections 3486.1(h) and 3486.2(c)(4).
- (10) Beginning on August 31, 2023, CDCR Form 1824, Reasonable Accommodation Requests from all institutions and parole regions, shall be referred to CST for screening and disposition as set forth in subsections 3486.1(h) and 3486.2(c)(4); and
- (11) Beginning on November 30, 2023, Third Party Complaints (e.g., citizen complaints, staff, ombudsman, advocacy letters and any related interviews, etc.) shall be referred to CST for screening and disposition as set forth in subsections 3486.1(h) and 3486.2(c)(4).

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code; *Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al.*, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW; *Madrid v. Woodford*, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department of Corrections Post Powers Investigations and Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H; *Madrid v. Woodford*, Order; and Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action.

Section 3486.1. Centralized Screening Process.

Section 3486.1 is amended.

(a) Claims Involving Departmental Staff.

- (1a) If departmental staff receives a written claim complaint from a claimant reporting party alleging staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person, the departmental staff receiving the claim complaint shall refer the claim complaint to the Centralized Screening Team (CST) and notify their supervisor to determine if it contains information constituting an imminent risk to personal safety, institutional security, or involves sexual abuse or acts of sexual misconduct as defined by the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) or and the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act.
- (2b) Allegations of staff misconduct not involving an incarcerated or supervised person shall not be referred to CST. If a <u>claim</u> complaint is received by CST that does not contain allegations involving misconduct towards an incarcerated or supervised person, CST shall refer the <u>claim</u> complaint to the <u>Hhiring Aauthority for disposition</u>. If departmental staff receives a written claim from a claimant alleging staff misconduct between staff members or between a staff member and a person not under the custody or supervision of the department, the departmental staff receiving the claim shall refer the claim to the Hiring Authority responsible for the accused staff member.
- (<u>b</u>€) <u>Claims Complaints</u> of misconduct not <u>il</u>nvolving <u>d</u>Departmental <u>s</u>Staff.
- (1) If a hiring authority Hiring Authority receives a written claim complaint of misconduct, that does not involve departmental staff, the hiring authority Hiring Authority shall advise the claimant reporting party that the subject of the claim complaint is not employed by the department CDCR and is outside the department's jurisdiction.

- (2) For all allegations of misconduct, excluding sexual abuse or sexual misconduct allegations as defined in **the federal** Prison Rape Elimination Act or and the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act, the claimant reporting party shall be advised to file a claim complaint directly with the appropriate outside entity.
- (3) For allegations of sexual abuse or sexual misconduct as defined by **the federal** P**rison** R**ape** E**limination** A**ct or and** the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act, the **hiring authority** receiving the <u>claim</u> complaint shall notify the appropriate outside entity of the allegations as required by law₃ and inform the <u>claimant</u> reporting party of this referral.

(cd) Verbal Claims. Complaints

- (1) Departmental staff shall document in writing any verbal claims complaints received that involve an alleging that an incarcerated or supervised person was subjected to unnecessary or excessive use of force, staff-on-offender sexual misconduct or to sexual abuse, including acts of sexual misconduct as defined by the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act or and the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act.—or sexual harassment. If either of the above circumstances apply, The departmental staff receiving the complaint shall refer the claim complaint to CST if it involves a staff on offender claim, and immediately forward the claim complaint to their hiring authority Hiring Authority. If the subject of the claim complaint is a hiring authority Hiring Authority, the allegation shall be referred to the hiring authority's Hiring Authority's supervisor. In addition, if the allegation concerns staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person, the departmental staff shall refer the claim to the Centralized Screening Team.
- (2) For all other verbal <u>claims</u> complaints, departmental staff shall provide the reporting party with information on how to submit their <u>claim complaint</u> in writing.
- (d) The Centralized Screening Team shall review all the documents it receives from incarcerated or supervised persons to determine if they contain information constituting an imminent risk to personal safety, institutional security, or involve sexual abuse or acts of sexual misconduct as defined by the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act or and the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act. In those instances, the Centralized Screening Team shall immediately notify the Hiring Authority at the affected institution or parole region for appropriate action.
- (e) The <u>Centralized Screening Team</u> CST shall review all <u>claims it</u> <u>complaints</u> receive<u>s</u>d that involve an incarcerated or supervised person and make <u>one of the following</u> a <u>Ss</u>creening Ddecisions.:
- (1) Claims challenging departmental policy shall be referred to the Office of Grievances for a policy review;
- (2) Claims requesting a reasonable accommodation shall be referred to the Office of Grievances for a reasonable accommodation review.
- (13) Claims concerning an aAllegations of staff misconduct which meet one of the following criteria include complex issues requiring specialized investigative skills or resources shall be referred for an investigation;
- (A) The allegation involves complex issues requiring specialized investigative skills or resources:
- (B) The allegation accuses a Warden or Chief Deputy Warden, a Regional Parole Administrator or Chief Deputy Parole Administrator, or a Chief Executive Officer or Chief Support Executive, or any other departmental staff with a rank higher than those described in this subsection, of staff misconduct resulting from personal interaction;
- (24) Notwithstanding subsection (f)(1), claims concerning Aallegations of staff misconduct which meet one of the following criteria do not include complex issues requiring

- specialized investigative skills or resources shall be referred to the Office of Grievances for a routine review; or—Notwithstanding subsection (e)(1), an allegation of staff misconduct submitted by one of the 50 claimants identified by the Office of Appeals in subsection 3483.5(a) may be diverted to the Grievance Resolution Team and answered in accordance with subsection 3483.5(d) if the allegation fits one of the criteria described in subsection 3483.5(c);
- (A) The allegation lacks sufficient detail for a meaningful screening determination and the claimant refuses to participate in a clarifying interview or participates in a clarifying interview but is still unable to provide sufficient detail to clarify the allegation;
- (B) The allegation closely mirrors three or more previous allegations submitted by the same claimant during the most recent calendar quarter and is similar to at least one other allegation which was previously denied by a Reviewing Authority or determined to be unfounded, exonerated, or not sustained by a Hiring Authority;
- (C) The allegation is factually impossible (meaning the allegation defies the laws of physics, biology, or any other discipline);
- (D) The allegation is highly implausible (meaning the allegation is factually possible but so improbable that any reasonable person would dismiss the allegation as preposterous);
- (E) The allegation accuses departmental staff of failing to take a specific action but documentary evidence found in the department's information technology system clearly shows that the action was taken by that same staff;
- (35) Notwithstanding subsections (1) through (4) above, cClaims concerning related to health care services or staff excluding allegations of staff misconduct referred for investigation, shall be referred to the Division of Health Care Services, unless the claim concerns an allegation of staff misconduct in which case it shall be referred pursuant to subsection (1); or (2) above.
- (4) Claims concerning a reasonable accommodation request shall be referred to the ADA Reviewing Authority Office of Grievances for a reasonable accommodation review by the Reasonable Accommodation Panel.; or
- (5) All other claims shall be referred to the Office of Grievances for a routine review.
- (f) Assigned CST staff shall review each document received to determine if it contains information constituting an imminent risk to personal safety, institutional security, or involves sexual abuse or acts of sexual misconduct as defined by the federal Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and the California Sexual Abuse in Detention Elimination Act. In those instances, CST shall immediately notify the hiring authority of the affected institution or program for appropriate action.
- (fg) The Centralized Screening Team CST may conduct a clarification interview with any person if required to assist in making make a screening decision. The clarification interview shall be conducted in a manner that provides as much privacy for the person claimant as operationally feasible.
- (h) When an allegation of staff misconduct toward an incarcerated or supervised person is identified by CST, CST shall make a screening decision. If CST's screening decision is to refer the complaint to the hiring authority for an allegation inquiry, and the subject of the complaint is the hiring authority, CST shall refer the allegation(s) to the hiring authority's supervisor.
- (i) If CST returns a complaint to the hiring authority as a routine issue, and the hiring authority identifies an allegation of staff misconduct towards an incarcerated or supervised person, the hiring authority shall refer the complaint and any supporting materials to CST for a screening decision.
- (\underline{ghj}) CST's Screening \underline{Dd} ecisions \underline{by} the Centralized Screening Team shall be documented in the department's information technology system.

- (<u>h</u>ik) The <u>hiring authority</u> <u>Hiring Authority</u> shall be notified of <u>all</u> <u>CST's</u> screening decision<u>s</u> <u>by</u> <u>the Centralized Screening Team</u> via the department's information technology system.
- (I) The reporting party shall be notified in writing that their complaint has been received by CST within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Sections 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644 and 5054, Penal Code; 28 CFR Part 115, Code of Federal Regulations; Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW; Madrid v. Woodford, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department of Corrections Post Powers Investigations and Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H; Madrid v. Woodford, Order; and Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action.

Section 3486.2. <u>Staff Misconduct</u> Investigations and Allegation Inquiries Involving <u>Staff</u> Misconduct toward Incarcerated or Supervised Persons.

Section 3486.2 is amended.

- (a) AIU Investigation Processing.
- (1) Upon receipt of a claim complaint from CST, OIA AIU staff shall analyze the claim complaint, obtain initial information including records, documents, evidence, or recordings relating to the claim complaint, and assemble an investigation file.
- (2) An AIU manager shall review the investigation file to determine the level of investigator to be assigned, and make an Investigation Assignment Decision in consultation with EAPT for designated cases.
- (b) AIU Staff Misconduct Investigations.
- (<u>a</u>4) The department shall ensure that each AIU linvestigation is conducted pursuant to existing laws, regulations, and <u>departmental CDCR</u> policies and procedures.
- (b2) AIU investigators shall When an investigator is assigned a case, they shall disclose if they have a potential conflict of interest in the case prior to conduct an investigation investigating for all the allegations of staff misconduct toward incarcerated or supervised persons referred to AIU by CST. If a potential conflict is disclosed, then a manager shall determine whether an actual conflict exists and, if so, shall assign the case to another investigator.
- (c3) Completion of Investigations.
- (<u>1</u>A) <u>When AIU</u> investigators shall conduct thorough investigations, they shall and ensure that all relevant evidence is gathered and reviewed, recordings are preserved, and necessary interviews are conducted.
- (2B) At the conclusion of an investigation, the assigned AIU investigator shall prepare a confidential draft investigative on report which summarizes the facts and evidence gathered during the investigation.
- (d4) Closure of Investigation Based on Special Conditions.
- (1A) An The investigator may recommend an investigation be closed when ever one of the following special conditions is met:
- (A4) <u>Upon review</u> of <u>all relevant</u> video and audio recordings, <u>sufficient</u> <u>provides</u> evidence <u>exists to prove conclusively</u> that the alleged misconduct did not occur;
- (B) Upon review of all relevant video and audio recordings, sufficient evidence exists to prove conclusively that the alleged misconduct did occur and each of the employees engaged in the alleged misconduct were given the opportunity to respond to the allegation and provide any mitigating factors that the Hiring Authority should consider;

- (<u>C2</u>) No additional investigatory steps can be pursued due to the lack of specificity provided by the claimant and the claimant's refusal to cooperate with the investigator's efforts to obtain additional information. Upon review of an allegation that staff engaged in misconduct, no reasonable investigatory steps remain for the investigator to pursue because the allegation lacks sufficient detail and the claimant refused to participate in an interview, participated in an interview but was still unable to provide sufficient detail, or could not participate in an interview due to their long-term unavailability; or
- (D) Upon review of an allegation that staff failed to take a specific action, documentary evidence found in the department's information technology system clearly shows that the action was taken by that same staff.
- (2B) If the investigator determines that one of the special conditions contained in subsection (dA)(1) applies apply, the investigator shall document the evidence in support of their conclusion and forward their report recommendation to a supervisor at least one rank higher than the investigator manager and, if the case is designated, to the assigned Vertical Advocate VA. Thereafter:
- (A4) If the <u>case is designated and the supervisor</u> <u>case is designated and the manager</u> <u>and Vertical Advocate</u> <u>VA</u> concur that one of the special conditions <u>applies</u> <u>apply</u>, then the <u>supervisor</u> <u>manager</u> shall <u>forward the report to</u> <u>approve the recommendation to close the investigation, and notify the hiring authority Hiring Authority for review; of the decision.</u>
- (2) If the case is not designated and the manager concurs that one of the special conditions apply, then the manager shall refer the case to the OIA regional administrator to review. If the OIA regional administrator concurs, then the manager shall notify the hiring authority of the decision.
- (B3) If the case is designated and the manager or the VA do not concur that one of the special conditions apply, then the manager shall refer the investigation back to the investigator for further investigation. If the case is designated and either the supervisor or the Vertical Advocate do not concur that one of the special conditions apply, then the supervisor shall refer the matter back to the investigator for further investigation; or
- (C) If the case is not designated and the supervisor concurs that one of the special conditions apply, then the supervisor shall forward the report to the Hiring Authority for review.
- (3C) After receiving notification, if the hiring authority Hiring Authority:
- (A4) Does not concur that one of the special conditions apply or determines additional investigation is warranted, then the hiring authority Hiring Authority shall notate their concerns in the department's information technology system and refer the matter investigation back to the investigator for further investigation;
- (B2) Concurs that the first, third, or fourth one of the special conditions applies apply and determines additional investigation is not warranted, then the hiring authority shall notify the subject of the investigation and the claimant that the investigation was closed; or
- (C) Concurs that the second special condition applies and determines additional investigation is not warranted, then the Hiring Authority shall determine what, if any, corrective or disciplinary action is warranted.
- (e54) Investigativeon Report Review.
- (1A) An AIU <u>supervisor at least one rank higher than the investigator</u> manager shall review the draft <u>linvestigative</u> Rreport, <u>and supporting exhibits and recordings</u>, to determine whether the investigation is sufficient, complete, and unbiased.
- (2B) For designated cases, the <u>Vertical Advocate</u> **A shall review the draft linvestigativeen Rreport and all supporting exhibits and recordings; and provide feedback to the assigned investigator AIU.
- (<u>3</u>C) After the <u>linvestigative</u> report is finalized, the <u>confidential final</u> <u>linvestigative</u> Rreport and all supporting exhibits and recordings, shall be provided to the <u>Hiring Authority</u> and, if the

case is designated, to the assigned <u>Vertical Advocate</u>. VA for designated cases, and the hiring authority

- (4D) If the hiring authority Hiring Authority finds the investigation insufficient to make determine a finding for each allegation, they shall request additional investigation in accordance with subsection 3392.5(c).
- (<u>5</u>**E**) If the <u>hiring authority</u> <u>Hiring Authority</u> finds the investigation sufficient to <u>make determine</u> a finding for each allegation, they shall do so in accordance with section 3392.1.
- (c) Allegation Inquiry Process.
- (1) When CST refers an allegation of staff misconduct to the hiring authority, the hiring authority shall have the Allegation Inquiry conducted by an LDI.
- (2) The LDI shall be at least one rank higher than the highest-ranking subject allegedly involved in the misconduct.
- (3) Completion of Allegation Inquiries.
- (A) LDIs shall conduct thorough allegation inquiries, and ensure all relevant evidence is gathered and reviewed, and necessary interviews are conducted. The LDI shall complete the Allegation Inquiry except when one of the following situations occurs:
- 1. If the LDI discovers evidence of staff misconduct which requires investigative skills or resources as described in subsection 3486(c)(21), the LDI shall cease further inquiry, document the evidence in an Allegation Inquiry Report which summarizes the facts and evidence gathered during the inquiry, and refer the Allegation Inquiry to AIU for an investigation with notification to the hiring authority.
- 2. If the LDI finds evidence of staff misconduct which may not require investigative skills or resources as described in subsection 3486(c)(21), but which may result in adverse action, the LDI shall cease further inquiry, document the evidence in an Allegation Inquiry Report, and refer the Allegation Inquiry to the hiring authority for review. If the hiring authority agrees, the Allegation Inquiry shall be referred to AIU for investigation or request for direct adverse action. If the hiring authority does not believe adverse action may result, the matter shall be returned to the LDI for completion of the Allegation Inquiry.
- (B) Upon completion of the Allegation Inquiry, the LDI shall author a confidential draft Allegation Inquiry Report with all applicable supporting exhibits, and provide the draft report to the AIU manager for review and approval.
- (4) Allegation Inquiry Report Review.
- (A) An AIU manager shall review the draft Allegation Inquiry Report, and supporting exhibits, to determine whether the Allegation Inquiry is sufficient, complete, and unbiased.
- (B) Once approved by an AIU manager, the Allegation Inquiry Report shall be provided to the hiring authority.
- (C) If the hiring authority finds the allegation inquiry insufficient to determine a finding for each allegation, they shall request additional fact gathering either by inquiry or investigation.
- (D) If the hiring authority finds the allegation inquiry sufficient to determine a finding for each allegation, they shall do so in accordance with section 3392.1.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code; Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW; Madrid v. Woodford, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department of Corrections Post Powers Investigations and Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H; Madrid v. Woodford, Order; and Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action.

Section 3486.3. Staff Misconduct Determination Notification of Investigative Findings.

Section 3486.3 is amended.

- (a) For allegations of staff misconduct requiring an investigation, the hiring authority shall notify the claimant, in writing, of the investigative findings no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the hiring authority makes disciplinary findings as described in subsection 3486.2(b)(5)(E). The hiring authority shall notify the reporting party, in writing, of the finding(s) of the original complaint within thirty (30) calendar days of the determination of the disposition of the complaint. If an allegation of staff misconduct is referred for investigation and the Hiring Authority makes a finding, the Hiring Authority shall notify the claimant, in writing, of the findings no later than thirty (30) calendar days after the findings are made, as required by subdivision (f)(1) of section 832.7 of the Penal Code.
- (1) The notification of the findings regarding the staff misconduct <u>claim complaint</u> shall be limited to whether the original <u>claim was complaint</u> <u>is</u> sustained, not sustained, exonerated, unfounded, or no finding.
- (2) Information related to any personnel action shall not be conveyed to the reporting party in the matter.
- (b) The department's information technology system shall be updated to reflect all determinations made regarding **the** allegation**s** of staff misconduct.

NOTE: Authority cited: Section 5058, Penal Code. Reference: Section 5054, Penal Code; Armstrong et al. v. Newsom et al., United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Court Case number 94-cv-02307-CW; Madrid v. Woodford, Special Masters Final Report Re: Department of Corrections Post Powers Investigations and Employee Discipline; Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H; Madrid v. Woodford, Order; and Case No. C90-3094-T.E.H. Class Action.

Date Received:

GRIEVANCE / REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

CDCR 602-1 / 1824 (01/25)

ADOPT

Page 1 of 2

NIAI	ME (Print)	(LAST NAME)	(FIRST NAME)	CDCR NUMBER
INAI	VIE (PIIIIL)	(LAST NAME)	(FIRST NAIME)	CDCR NOWBER
			NSTITUTION OR PAROLE REGION	HOUSING AND BED NUMBER
	*** YOU	CAN NOW FILE	A GRIEVANCE OR REQUEST A REASONABLE ACCOM	MODATION BY COMPLETING THIS FORM ***
		itting a grievance w and sign and da	, an allegation of staff misconduct, or an allegation of disa ate the form.	bility-based discrimination, please complete
Ple	ase describ		nt. Include the names of all those who were involved; anbers for documents in your central file.	ny attempts to informally resolve the
Dat	e of Ever	nt, if known:	Time of Eve	nt, if known:
Loc	ation of Ev	ent, if known:		
Des	scribe your	complaint:		
			If you need mo	ore space, continue on the back of this form
wa	nt to repor	uesting assistar t the removal o and sign and da	nce or an accommodation so you can access or part or denial of an accommodation due to disability-bas ate the form.	cipate in a program, service, or activity, or ed discrimination, please complete the
			ION REQUEST (CDCR 1824)	
		the following ques	,	
1.	What can't	you do / What is	the problem?	
2.	Why can't	you do it?		
3.	What do yo	ou need?		
			If you need mo	ore space, continue on the back of this form
V -	O! 1		_	sto Cinnado
Ϋ́O	ur Signat	ure:		ate Signed:
			STAFF USE ONLY	

Log Number:

GRIEVANCE / REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION REQUEST Page 2 of 2

CDCR 602-1 / 1824 (1/25)	Page 2 of 2
Use this side of the form as a continuation page for a grievance, a reasonable accommodation request, or b	
ose this side of the form as a continuation page for a grievance, a reasonable accommodation request, or b	our

CDCR 602-2 (Rev. 01/25)		
STAFF USE ONLY	Log No:Claimant Name:CDCR No	
	STAFF USE ONLY	
	or a remedy issued by the Office of Grievances. form. All claims must first be filed on a CDCR 602-1/1824.	
	Claim No:	
	or remedy because:	
	This form must be mailed to the: Office of Appeals Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation P.O. Box 942883	
	Sacramento, CA 95811 IMPORTANT: Deal, the Office of Appeals has access to all of the documents you subm	nitted
	evances, so it is not necessary for you to re-submit those documents. ments you attach to this form will not be returned to you.	
Claimant Signature:	Date Signed:	

Log No:	Claim No:
I am dissatisfied with the decision or remedy because:	

ADOPT

Page 1 of 1

STAFF USE ONLY	Log No:
	STAFF USE ONLY
Use this form to ask for a remedy Do not include new claims on this	that was granted but is overdue. form. All claims must first be filed on a CDCR 602-1/1824.
Log No:	
Claim Nowas not sa	atisfactory because
Claim Nowas not sa	atisfactory because
	atisfactory because
	This form must be mailed to the: Office of Appeals

Office of Appeals
Remedies Compliance Coordinator
Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
P.O. Box 942883
Sacramento, CA 95811

IMPORTANT:

This form is only intended to resolve delays in the implementation of a remedy issued by the Office of Grievances or the Office of Appeals, not to dispute a remedy or submit a new claim.

Any documents you attach to this form will not be returned to you.

GRIEVANCE / REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

CDCR FORM 602-1 / 1824 (01/25)

REPEAL

NAME (Print)	(LAST NAME)	(FIRST NAME)	CDCR NUMBER
		NSTITUTION OR PAROLE REGION	HOUSING AND BED NUMBER
	ır	NSTITUTION OR PAROLE REGION	HOUSING AND BED NUMBER
*** YOL	J CAN NOW FILE	A GRIEVANCE OR REQUEST A REASONABLE	ACCOMMODATION BY COMPLETING THIS FORM ***
			ation of disability-based discrimination, please complete
	ow and sign and da		ation of disability-based discrimination, please complete
GRIEVANCE	(CDCR FORM 6	02-1)	
Please descri	be your complain	nt. Include the names of all those who wer	e involved; any attempts to informally resolve the
issue; and an	y related log num	bers for documents in your central file.	
Date of Ever	nt, if known:	Tiı	ne of Event, if known:
Location of Ev	ent, if known:		
Describe your	complaint:		
		If you	need many among continue on the heat of this form
		ıı you	need more space, continue on the back of this form
If you are rec	ujestina assistan	ce or an accommodation so you can acces	s or participate in a program, service, or activity, or
want to repo	rt the removal	or denial of an accommodation due to di	isability-based discrimination, please complete the
section below	and sign and da	te the form.	
		ON REQUEST (CDCR FORM 1824)	
	the following quest		
1. What can't	you do / What is	the problem?	
2. Why can't	you do it?		
3. What do yo	ou need?		
			_
		If you	need more space, continue on the back of this form
Your Signat	ure:		Date Signed:
. Jai Jigilat		STAFF USE ONL	
		5 332 GN2	
Date Receive	d:	Lo	g Number:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

GRIEVANCE / REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION REQUEST	
DOD FORM 000 4 / 4004 (4/05)	Dogo 2 of 2

CDCR FORM 602-1 / 1824 (1/25)	Page 2 of 2
Use this side of the form as a continuation page for a grievance, a reasonable accommodation request, or both	
g	

CR 602-2 (Rev. 01/25)		Page 1
STAFF USE ONLY	Log No:Claimant Name:CDCR No	
	STAFF USE ONLY	
e this form to appeal a decision or a	remedy issued by the Office of Grievances.	
not include new claims on this form	n. All claims must first be filed on a CDCR Form	602-1/1824.
og No:	Claim No:	
	This form must be mailed to the: Office of Appeals Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation P.O. Box 942883 Sacramento, CA 95811	
William made administration and an all	IMPORTANT:	
	the Office of Appeals has access to all of the donces so it is not necessary for you to re-submit the	•
Any documen	ts you attach to this form will <u>not</u> be returned	d to you.
aimant Signature:	Date	e Sianed:

CONTINUATION PAGE

Page 2 of 2

Log No:	Claim No:
Lam dissatisfied with the decision or remody because:	
I am dissatisfied with the decision or remedy because:	
	·
-	

REPEAL

REQUEST TO IMPLEMENT OVERDUE REMEDY

Page 1 of 1

CDCR 602-3 (Rev. 01/25)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
STAFF USE ONLY	Log No: Claimant Name: CDCR No
	STAFF USE ONLY
Use this form to ask for a remedy that we Do not include new claims on this form.	vas granted but is overdue. All claims must first be filed on a CDCR Form 602-1/1824.
Log No:	

Claim No. _____ was not satisfactory because _____

Claim No. _____ was not satisfactory because _____

Claim No. _____ was not satisfactory because _____

This form a i ghVY mailYX to the:

Office of Appeals
Remedies Compliance Coordinator
Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
P.O. Box 942883
Sacramento, CA 95811

IMPORTANT:

This form is only intended to resolve delays in the implementation of a remedy issued by the Office of Grievances or the Office of Appeals, not to dispute a remedy or submit a new claim.

Any documents you attach to this form will not be returned to you.

Claimant Signature: Da	ate Signed:
------------------------	-------------

STAFF USE ONLY	OGT Log No: Date Received: Decision Due Date: Categories:			
Claimant Name:	CDCR #:			
Institution/Parole Region:	Current Housing/Parole Unit:			
STAFF USE ONLY				
Use this form to file a complaint w	vith the Department.			
In order for the Department to understand your complaint, please answer all of the following questions: What is the nature of your complaint? When and where did the complaint occur? Who was involved? Which specific people can support your complaint? Did you try to informally resolve the complaint? What rule or policy are you relying on to make your complaint? What specific action would resolve your complaint? NOTE: Attach documents that help support your complaint (identify the documents if you do not have them).				

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

CONTINUATION PAGE

CDCR 602-1 (Rev. 01/22)	Page 2 of 2
Claimant Signature:	Date Signed:

REPEAL

INSTITUTION (Staff use only)	LOG NUMBER (Staff Use Only)		/)	DATE RECEIVED BY STAFF:	

may delay your access to health care. Inst		• •			
INCARCERATED PERSON'S NAME (Print)	INCARCERATED PERSON'S NAME (Print)		ASSIGNMENT		HOUSING
INSTRUCTIONS: • You may use this form if you have a physical or mental disability or if you believe you have a physical or mental disability. • You may use this form to request a specific reasonable accommodation which, if approved, will enable you to access and/or participate in a program, service or activity. You may also use this form to submit an allegation of disability-based discrimination. • Submit this form to the Custody Appeals Office. • The 1824 process is intended for an individual's accommodation request. Each individual's request requires a case-by-case review. • The CDCR 1824 is a request process, not an appeal process. All CDCR 1824 requests will receive a response. • If you have received an 1824 decision that you disagree with, you may submit an appeal (CDCR 602, or CDCR 602-HC if you are disagreeing with a medical diagnosis/treatment decision). WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT IS THE PROBLEM?					
WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT?					
WHAT DO YOU NEED?					
			(Use the b	ack of this for	m if more space is needed)
DO YOU HAVE DOCUMENTS THAT DE	ESCI		ΓΥ? Yes [□ No □	Not Sure □
List and attach documents, if available.					
I understand that staff have a right to interview or examine me, and my failure to cooperate may cause this request to be disapproved.					
INCARCERATED I Assistance in completing this form was provide		SON'S SIGNATURE y:	DAT	E SIGNED	
Last Name		First Name		Signa	ture

APPEAL OF GRIEVANCE CDCR 602-2 (Rev. 01/22)

REPEAL

Page 1 of 2

STAFF USE ONLY	OGT Log No: Dat Decision Due Date: Categories:			
Claimant Name:		CDCR #:		
Institution/Parole Region:	nstitution/Parole Region: Current Housing/Parole Unit:			
	STAFF USE ONLY			
Use this form to appeal a decision or a remedy by the Office of Grievances. Do not include new complaints on this form, they must first be filed with the Office of Grievances on a Form 602-1.				
OGT Log No:	Claim No:			
Explain the reason for your appea	l. Be as specific as you can.			
I am dissatisfied with the response	e I was given because			
	This form shall be submitted by mail to: Office of Appeals Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation P.O. Box 942883 Sacramento, CA 95811			

IMPORTANT:

The Office of Appeals will consider all of the supporting documentation you previously submitted to the Office of Grievances when reviewing your appeal, but will <u>not</u> consider any new documentation. Therefore, it is recommended you not attach any documentation to this form.

Furthermore, any documentation you attach to this form will not be returned to you.

Claimant Signature:	Date Signed:	
-		

APPEAL OF GRIEVANCE CDCR 602-2 (Rev. 01/22)

CONTINUATION PAGE

Page 2 of 2

OGT Log No:	Claim No:			
Explain the reason for your appeal. Be as specific as you can.				
	I am dissatisfied with the response I was given because			
	_	_		
-				

Page 1 of 1

REQUEST TO IMPLEMENT REMEDIES CDCR 602-3 (Rev. 01/22)

STAFF USE ONLY	Remedy Confirmed:	Remedy Ur	ved: nconfirmed: Resolved:
# . I ! ! ! !			
-	32222222222222222222222222222222222222		•
	medy that was granted but is	<u> </u>	
	ts on this form, they must firs		Grievances on a Form 602-1.
rievance or Appeal Log No	o:		
aim No:			
ate of Decision:			
escription of Remedy:			
	This form must be su		
	Remedies Complian Department of Correction	ons and Rehabilitation	
	P.O. Box	942883	

Sacramento, CA 95811

IMPORTANT:

The Remedies Compliance Program is only intended to resolve delays in the implementation of a remedy previously granted by the Office of Grievances or the Office of Appeals; it is not intended for new issues, to seek additional remedies, or to dispute the remedy provided.

When reviewing this request, the Office of Appeals will consider all of the supporting documentation you previously submitted to the Office of Grievances but will not consider any new documentation. Therefore, it is recommended you not attach any documentation to this form.

Furthermore, any documentation you attach to this form will not be returned to you

r dittiermore, any documentation you atta	ch to this form will <u>not</u> be returned to you.
Claimant Signature:	Date Signed: